

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_96925
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Hope In Alzheimer's Treatment; Lecanemab.
Type of the Article	?

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Difficult to answer because type of article has not been mentioned Again this isv difficult to answer unless until the type of article is mentioned Reference section has to be corrected as per authors guide line Spelling mistakes are there. 1)Page number 3, line 17 where spelling of endeavour is to be corrected Line has to be written again "subsequent..." 2) Page no. 4 where "anymalmicrohemorrhages" not clear 3) Page no. 4, line 2, 99 centers, where spelling of centres has to be corrected. 4) spacing is absent in between humanized monoclonal antibody. 5) Reference section has to be written again.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Author has to spend time in giving space between words.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Snehasis Bhunia
Department, University & Country	Uttar Pradesh University of Medical Sciences, India