

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_INDJ_96925 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A New Hope In Alzheimer's Treatment; Lecanemab. |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Yes | |
| 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | | |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | | |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | No, There are missing references e.g., 1, 2, 4 | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Require edition. Please use strict scientific language. | |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | Sentence "Alzheimer's disease in a similar manner..." should end with a reference Sentence "In addition, lecanemab has been shown in recent trials..." should end with a reference Statement "some researchers began to question the amyloid hypothesis... : should be supported by a reference "stated Eisai CEO Haruo Naito" should end with reference Sentence "The primary objective of a clinical study that lasted for 12.." should end with reference ..." the presence of ARIA..." at first occurrence please sell out acronym ARIA "In September of 2022, eisai.." should be Eisai | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Ryszard Tomasiuk |
| Department, University & Country | Casimir Pulaski University of Humanities and Technology, Poland |