

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_96660
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF FACTORS INFLUENCING EASE OF ACCESS ON INTENTIONAL ORGANOPHOSPHATES SELF-POISONING AMONG PERSONS AGED 15-30 YEARS IN KERICHO COUNTY, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Yes</li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Yes</li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Yes</li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes, but they can be increased with publications from 2019 to 2023.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The Introduction must contain the research hypothesis.</p> <p>The theoretical foundation can be updated with articles published between 2019 and 2023, as there are many advances in the area of Health.</p> <p>The Methodology should clarify the character of the research and emphasize the method of evaluating the collected data; the universe of 100 respondents must be justified from the methodological point of view for validation of the study.</p> <p>The Conclusion should point out limitations of the methodology and point out future research based on the study presented.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> Yes.</li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Luciano Torres Tricário</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Vale do Itajai, Brazil</b>