

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_96639
Title of the Manuscript:	TO DETERMINE THE PATIENTS' FACTORS INFLUENCING USE OF ASTHMA ACTION PLANS AMONG ADULT ASTHMATIC PATIENTS AGED 18-65 IN NYAMIRA COUNTY REFERRAL HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. action plan which were represented by a response rate of 160(72.7%) respondents while those had ever heard about it were indicated by a response rate of 60(27.3%) respondents hence indicating correct as "action plan which were represented by a response rate of 160 (72.7%) respondents while those had ever heard about it were indicated by a response rate of 60 (27.3%) respondents hence indicating" 2. strongly agree and agree were indicated a response rate of 52(24.0%) and 86(39.6%) respondents respectively while those who disagreed and strongly disagree were indicated by a response rate of 63(29.0%) and 16(7.4%) respondents respectively. Correct as strongly agree and agree were indicated a response rate of 52 (24.0%) and 86 (39.6%) respondents respectively while those who disagreed and strongly disagree were indicated by a response rate of 63 (29.0%) and 16 (7.4%) respondents respectively. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It is novel almost correct article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitika Hans
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India