

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_97533
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF SERUM LIPID PROFILE AND URIC ACID BETWEEN PREGNANT NORMOTENSIVE AND PREGNANCY-INDUCED HYPERTENSIVE WOMEN IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it's a need of the hour. Yes No, Kindly check the format Have to improve the structure of the manuscript Yes 1. Check abstract again. 2. Revise all tables and align them in same format including spacing and font size. 3. Include DOI number in all the references 4. References must be cited in text as per the journal's template and listed with their DOIs as per the style of the journal. 5. Research Methodology/ Experimental part should be precise and clear.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Have to improve	
Optional/General comments	1. Revise the whole manuscript as per the Journal's Guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F. Janeeta Priya
Department, University & Country	Bharathidasan University, India