

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_97135
Title of the Manuscript:	Modern contraception utilisation and associated factors among adolescents in selected communities of Sunyani West Municipality, A community-based survey
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. The manuscript is tailored towards the underserved communities in sub-saharan Africa where there is still an unmet need for contraception. A more appropriate title would be 'Modern contraceptive utilisation and associated factors among adolescents in selected communities of Sunyani West Municipality; A cross-sectional survey/study. Yes Yes Yes. The study is relevant and fills a knowledge gap the authors identified. The references need to be reviewed (see my comments in the manuscript)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language was fair but I have highlighted areas that need improvement to make it more readable	
Optional/General comments	I am satisfied with the work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johnbosco E. Mamah
Department, University & Country	Alex-Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria