

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97672
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient release characteristic of multinutrient pellet for organic farming in rice (<i>Oryza sativa.L</i>) for acid sulphate soils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, Good laboratory methods are provided to improve product production The title is a bit long .my suggestion: Nutrient release of multi-nutrient pellet in rice cultivation (<i>Oryza sativa.L</i>) in acid sulphate soils. The abstract is well written but the final conclusion is not seen in it. The subsections are very long; it is better to summarize them under one title. In the method section, there is a need for more details about the preparation of the pellets and more explanations are needed. Yes, it is true, but using it on a large scale requires more research to be practical Yes. They are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable but it is better to be checked by a native as well.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robabeh Latif
Department, University & Country	Semnan University, Iran