

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

Conservation Agriculture for Sustainable Agriculture

Formatted: Numbering: Continuous

ABSTRACT

During 1930 in the United States of America, it was strongly felt that when farmers plow their field massive amount of dust particles were carried out into their cities through dust cyclone/hurricanes which made the lives in the cities extremely discomforting. To resolve this problem, many researches and discussions were carried out. As a result, a conclusion was made that a new farming system without tillage was necessary. Therefore, [conservation agriculture \(CA\)](#), started in 1930 and it didn't gain popularity till 1950. Between 1930 to 1950, when CA was applied as a piloted research, it garnered many positive results which quickly lead farmers to adopt CA practices. But from 1950 to 1990, there was very little rise- CA was practiced in only 2-million-hectare land. From 1990 to 2015, CA was practices in almost 180-million-hectare land with 10-million hectare annually around the world. The places where CA is practiced the most is Brazil, next is America followed by Australia. In India, [we practice CA is practiced](#) at 3-million-hectare land in different forms. Various types of machinery are used in conservation agriculture such as zero tillage technology, crop diversification and intensification, multi crop zero tillage plant, mechanical transplanter, happy seeder [and](#), laser land leveler, [etc. Through these we can promote conservation agriculture.](#)

Keywords: Conservation agriculture, climate change, zero tillage, Happy seeder,

Comment [K1]: Abstract:
-Authors can state the full meaning of CA first before using the abbreviated format.
-The main aim/objective of this study is unknown or clear in the abstract. Authors need to state the main clearly in the initial part of the abstract.
-Again, the techniques/method used in analyzing or reviewing extant literature highlighted in this synthesis report or study must be integrated or highlighted briefly in a sentence or two in the abstract (i.e., content analysis, SLR approach and so on).
-The abstract should have a concluding sentence which highlight the study's relevance or contribution to literature or emerging body of knowledge

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Conventional agricultural practices encourage soil tillage, crop residue burning, and external inputs, causing soil degradation by reducing organic matter, increasing erosion and compaction [1]. Stubble burning or crop residue burning is a common agricultural practice where straw or stubble left in the field after grain harvest is intentionally burned. This burning of agricultural residue is typically carried out as a means of land preparation for the next crop, to remove excess plant matter from the field or to control pests and diseases. Residue burning has been identified as a significant source of short-lived climate pollutants, including methane and black carbon. Methane is a potent greenhouse gas that contributes to global warming, while black carbon can have a range of negative impacts on air quality, including respiratory illnesses and reduced visibility [2]. Conservation agriculture, as defined by the United Nations' Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), is "a farming system that promotes maintenance of a permanent soil cover, minimum soil disturbance, and diversification of plant species. It enhances biodiversity and natural biological processes above and below the ground surface, which contribute to increased water and nutrient use efficiency and to improved and sustained crop production". CA mainly has three principles: 1. Minimum soil disturbance (not more than 30% of soil disturbance) 2. Soil coverage with organic biomass (to mulch soil with the residue of harvest). 3. Crop diversification (to sow different crops using different methods at different times on a rotation in a one-year crop cycle on same piece of land which is also called a crop cycle) [3]. In comparison to conventional tillage, the adoption of minimum tillage practices has been found to result in significant fuel and labor savings. Specifically, studies have shown that minimum tillage practices can save between 60-66% of the fuel required for land preparation and 70-74% of the labor required [4].

Comment [K2]: Introduction:
-Research progress in relation to other similar review studies should be integrated to enrich the introduction.
-authors can integrate some guiding concepts or theories linked to ecosystem based approaches and sustainable development to enrich the introduction.
-What this study sought or attempted to address needs to be made clear in the last paragraph of the introduction.
-The study's importance to key players must also be highlighted briefly also.

37 Difference between conservation and conventional agriculture

38 The decomposition rate of soil organic matter is higher in tropical regions than
39 subtropical and moderate climates due to higher temperatures [5]. Conservation agriculture
40 involves minimal soil disturbance, use of zero tillage or NT, soil cover with green manure or
41 crop residue (mulching), and crop rotation to improve soil structure, porosity, and organic
42 matter accumulation while saving fuel, time, and labor. Conservation tillage improves soil
43 fertility, water, and crop productivity, while no-tillage provides better soil protection than
44 conventional tillage, which leaves only 1-5% of the soil surface covered with crop residues
45 [6].

46 Conventional agriculture relies heavily on tillage operations like plowing, harrowing,
47 and drilling to prepare the land for planting and weed control. Unfortunately, repeated tillage
48 can harm soil structure, increase erosion, and result in costly fuel and labor expenses.
49 Furthermore, conventional tillage practices can expose soil to air and sunlight, leading to the
50 oxidation of organic matter and the release of CO₂ into the environment, contributing to
51 climate change. [7].

52 [Methods](#)

53 2.0 Machinery use in Conservation Agriculture

54 **2.1 Zero Tillage Technology:** Zero tillage, also known as no-till farming, is a type of
55 minimum tillage where primary tillage is entirely avoided, and secondary tillage is restricted
56 to seedbed preparation in the row zone only. This method involves planting seeds directly
57 into untilled soil, leaving the previous year's crop residue undisturbed. One approach to
58 practicing zero tillage is through till planting, where machinery performs four tasks in one
59 operation: clearing a narrow strip over the crop row, opening the soil for seed insertion,
60 placing the seed, and properly covering it. This is accomplished through the use of a wide
61 sweep and trash bars to clear a strip over the previous crop row, while a planter-shoe opens
62 a narrow strip into which seeds are planted and covered. In zero tillage, herbicide use is
63 extended as vegetation present on the field must be destroyed before sowing. To
64 accomplish this, broad-spectrum non-selective herbicides with relatively short residual
65 effects, such as Paraquat and Glyphosate, are typically employed [8].

66 Zero tillage (ZT) has been shown to allow for timely planting of wheat, providing several
67 benefits over conventional tillage (CT) methods. One such advantage is that ZT enables
68 earlier sowing, which has been found to reduce competition from *Phalaris minor*, a herbicide-
69 resistant weed that can negatively impact wheat growth and yields when wheat is sown late
70 under CT [9,10]. This process saves at least 15-20 days of land preparation and thus,
71 shortens the duration which also increases 15- 20% wheat yield. So, this is the main reason
72 behind the initiation of zero-tillage technology.

73 **Benefits of zero-tillage technology:** Zero tillage (ZT) is known to have a positive impact on
74 soil quality across several dimensions, including improvements in soil structure, soil fertility,
75 and soil biological properties. In rice-wheat systems, soil organic carbon levels are generally
76 low [11]. However, research has shown that ZT soils tend to have higher organic carbon
77 content compared to conventional tillage (CT) soils. It should be noted, however, that ZT
78 soils also have a lower pH, which can be attributed to nitrification [12]. Zero tillage (ZT)
79 technology can result in significant cost savings related to field preparation, with potential
80 reductions of up to Rs. 3200 per hectare [13]. This method also saves time and labor, with
81 potential reductions of 10-20%. Additionally, adopting ZT technology can lead to a significant
82 decrease in fuel consumption, with potential savings ranging from 26.5-43.7 liters per
83 hectare [13]. This not only results in reduced fuel costs but also in lower carbon emissions
84 released into the atmosphere. As a result, the use of ZT technology can promote more
85 sustainable and cost-effective agricultural practices. Research has shown that adopting zero
86 tillage (ZT) can result in significant water savings during the cultivation of wheat. Reported
87 reductions in irrigation water use range from 20-35%. This is due to various factors, such as

Formatted: Subscript

Comment [K3]: Methods:

-Source of data extraction or acquisition/databases and the mode of analysing them must be integrated or highlighted.

-Thus, the techniques/method used in analyzing or reviewing extant literature highlighted in this synthesis report or study must be integrated or highlighted or explained (i.e., content analysis, SLR approach and so on).

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

88 the increased water-holding capacity of untilled soil, improved infiltration rates, and reduced
89 evaporation losses compared to conventional tillage methods. [14]. Also, the weed problem
90 which was a huge issue in wheat was significantly reduced due to zero-tillage technology.

91 **2.2 Multi-Crop Zero Tillage Planter:** The most distinctive feature of a Zero tillage machine
92 are its tines which are also known as furrow openers. These are special types and call them
93 T - inverted type of tines. The furrow opener has a high carbon bit (heated bit) for
94 penetration and it is wider from here as it has to place seed and fertilizer in the proper place.
95 In this, the fertilizer goes first and then the seed so there is some variation as the seed and
96 fertilizer should not get in contact. A multi-crop planter is an agricultural tool designed to
97 efficiently plant multiple crops in a single pass. This machine consists of three boxes - the
98 first box is used for storing fertilizers, the second box for seeds, and the third box is used for
99 planting special crops such as maize that require precise planting. These planters can
100 handle variable seed sizes, rates, depths, and spacing for different crops with adjustments
101 for row spacing, depth, and power transmission. They also have a precise seed metering
102 system using inclined rotary plates with variable groove number and size for different seed
103 size and spacing, providing flexibility for direct drilling of different crops with precise rate and
104 spacing using the same planter, which is not possible with fluted roller metering drills [15].

105 **2.3 Mechanical Transplanter:** Current labor shortage in farm operations, the government is
106 encouraging the use of mechanization in order to increase the profitability of farming.
107 Mechanical rice transplanter plants the seedling on ridges. This is a floating type mechanical
108 rice planter. This floats and presses it down and it goes up where our seedling gets planted
109 as it moves forward. The component is called a rotating flat board and its job is to facilitate
110 mat nursery. Its distance is 22 cm. This is a finger controller and it has fingers which we
111 rotate. Besides this, we have a floating platform and it floats over puddled soil. There is the
112 engine and its components. When we release it, the fingers get activated and it acts as a
113 control to turn it on and off. This is the adjustment where we can adjust the distance between
114 seed and seedling from 12 cm to 14 cm to control the seedling depth. Farmers are not
115 following the mat type nursery production technique correctly, which is having a negative
116 impact on the performance of the machine. Preparation of mat nursery requires about 40%
117 of the total energy requirement for mechanical transplanting, whereas the energy share for
118 traditional nursery under manual transplanting is only 11%, the cost of machine transplanting
119 was found to be significantly lower at Rs. 1310/ha compared to manual transplanting, which
120 cost Rs. 2463/ha. Furthermore, the cost of producing mat type nursery for mechanical
121 transplanting was approximately 40% of the total cost, while the cost for traditional nursery
122 was only 25% of the transplanting cost [16].

123 **2.4 Happy Seeder:** It can sow seeds and fertilizer in a single operation without tilling or
124 disturbing the soil and can work with any type of crop residue, with a load of 10 to 12 tonnes.
125 However, it requires a double clutch tractor with a uniform force of 400 to 500 rpm to run
126 effectively. The machine has nine tines, a weight of around 740 kg, and can be used for
127 different crops by attaching a multi-crop planter box. The depth controllers are important to
128 ensure that seeds and fertilizer drop at the correct depth in all nine rows. The SMS (straw
129 manager system) was also developed to equally distribute residue on the field in a uniform
130 manner. The Happy Seeder is an effective solution to the problem of crop residue and can
131 help in sustainable agriculture practices. According to a recent study, farmers who use the
132 Happy Seeder technique can generate up to 20% more profits on average, compared to
133 those who burn their crop residues [17]. [18] Discovered that in farmers' fields, sowing with
134 the Happy Seeder resulted in an average yield increase of approximately 10% compared to
135 traditional farmer practices. The use of Happy Seeder for direct drilling wheat can lead to a
136 reduction of 7.5 hours per hectare in tractor time as compared to conventional tillage and
137 sowing. However, the direct drilling method using the Happy Seeder may take slightly longer
138 than using the zero till drill. Based on an estimated fuel consumption rate of 6 liters per hour
139 for a typical 35 HP tractor, the use of the Happy Seeder can save up to 45 liters of fuel per
140 hectare [19]. According to [20], the use of a 9-row happy seeder increased wheat grain yield

141 by 3.9%. However, [21] highlighted constraints to its adoption, including a low window of
142 operation (only 25 days per year), lower machine capacity compared to conventional seed
143 drills, inability to operate in wet straw, and a lack of straw spreaders on combine harvesters.



Fig 1. Source (Photo: BISA/Love Kumar Singh)

146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157 **2.5 Laser land leveler:** The laser land leveler, also known as "Computer Manjha" locally, is
158 a sophisticated computer-based technology that has revolutionized the way farmers level
159 their fields in India. Traditional land leveling methods were unable to minimize elevation
160 differences below 10 cm, leading to variations in crop production and waterlogging in low-
161 lying areas. With the laser land leveler, the field is automatically leveled, reducing variability
162 to a maximum of 2 cm. [22] research, laser leveling can lead to a 10-15% reduction in the
163 operating time of agricultural machinery when compared to traditional leveling methods. This
164 is due to the fact that laser leveling creates a more even surface, reducing the need for
165 adjustments and repairs to machinery caused by uneven terrain. [23] conducted a study to
166 examine the impact of laser land leveling on nutrient-use efficiency in Rice-wheat fields. The
167 results of the study showed that there was a significant enhancement in fertilizer use
168 efficiency in fields that were laser-leveled compared to those that were conventionally
169 leveled. Additionally, agronomic efficiency was significantly improved in laser-leveled fields
170 in a rice-wheat cropping system. These findings are significant for farmers, as they suggest
171 that laser leveling can help maximize the use of fertilizers and other nutrients, leading to
172 increased crop yields and profitability. By creating a more level surface for planting, laser
173 leveling can ensure that nutrients are distributed more evenly throughout the field, reducing
174 the risk of nutrient deficiencies or excesses in certain areas.

175 **3.0 Allied Conservation Agriculture for Sustainable Intensification Machineries**

176 Conservation Agriculture for Sustainable Intensification is an approach to farming
177 that aims to improve sustainability and productivity while reducing environmental impacts.
178 Various machines are used in CASI to achieve these goals. The Combine Harvester is a
179 machine that can harvest, thresh and winnow crops like rice, with a capacity of 21 to 22
180 acres per hour. The Super Straw Management System evenly distributes straw residue,
181 allowing for the use of other supporting machines. The Straw Reaper cuts and chops stalks
182 into small pieces for storage and use as fodder, while also producing an extra 50 to 100 kg
183 of grain per hectare. The Reaper Combiner cuts and binds crops, saving time and labor. The
184 Paddy Straw Chopper converts field residue into small pieces that can be buried in the soil,
185 helping with soil sustainability. The rotary mulcher is a field equipment that is operated
186 through a tractor's Power Take Off (PTO) shaft and has a 3-point linkage. It chops leftover
187 paddy stubbles and straw in the field into small pieces that can be used as surface mulch,
188 improving soil fertility and reducing the need for fertilizers. [24]. The Weeder is used for
189 mechanical weeding, while the Sprayer evenly distributes liquid droplets for applying
190 herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, weedicide, and micronutrients. The Raised Bed Planter
191 creates a permanent bed for direct seeding or precision planting, saving water by only using
192 it in the furrow.

Comment [K4]: Figure 1: Figure 1 needs an appropriate caption and the source and yet to be given caption must be separated from the image.

-Authors need to restructure the sections for consistency. It is unclear what the methods used to analyze or data source is. Again, it is also unclear what the study's results are though it is obvious this is a review study or synthesis report. Hence, authors need to highlight the strengths, weaknesses and opportunities in conservative agriculture and conventional approaches used where necessary.

193 **4.0 PRACTICES IN CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE**

194 **4.1Crop Diversification and Intensification:** Crop diversification is to alternatively sow
 195 different crops in a sequence in the same piece of land in the same year to next year. And
 196 crop intensification is that instead of doing one crop, grow two crops and instead of two
 197 crops grow three crops in a sequence in same year.

198 **Principles**

199 Less profitable to high profitable with more sustainability

200 If our cropping system is very water loving i.e. requires a lot of water, then we can replace it
 201 with a less water loving crop within the same system i.e. one that requires less water and
 202 optimizes it.

203 If we repeating the same type of crop then it increases the biotic stresses like diseases,
 204 insects and also nutrient uptake. So, to break this, we move towards a less biotic stresses
 205 with changing cropping system.

206 If we diversify the cropping system, then the cropping system becomes more resilient to
 207 climatic shocks. Like if one crop gets damaged, then we can profit from the next crop. We
 208 also have a mixed crop where if one crop fails, the other is saved. If we add a third crop then
 209 farmers can benefit from at least two crops in case, if one gets damaged [25].

210 Table 1 :Crop diversification in agriculture

211

Crop diversification applied	Crop diversification not applied
❖ Natural resource conservation and enhancement	❖ Soil degradation
❖ Increasing the profitability of small farm holding	❖ Nutrient imbalance
❖ Risk reduction Pest and disease control	❖ Threats of biotic stress
❖ Improvement of soil fertility	❖ Declining farm profit
❖ Pest and disease control	❖ Resurgence of disease and pest
❖ Minimizing the risk coverage and reducing the magnitude of risk due to mono cropping	
❖ Soil restoration	
❖ Enhance opportunity of cropping in aberrant weather situation	

212

213 **4.2Herbicides application:** Zero tillage is a popular agricultural practice, but it can lead to
 214 an increase in weed growth, making the use of herbicides crucial. Conservation agriculture
 215 requires minimum tillage and herbicide use to manage weeds efficiently, reducing production
 216 costs. Crop sown with zero till technology after a paraquat application recorded significantly
 217 less dry matter of P. minor compared to zero till sown wheat without paraquat application as
 218 well as conventional tillage crop. This may be because all the emerged seedlings of weeds
 219 were killed by paraquat in the former treatment. The use of zero till technology, combined
 220 with paraquat application, can be an effective way to control weed growth and promote
 221 healthy crop growth. By reducing the need for manual weeding and other labor-intensive
 222 practices, farmers can save time and money while improving the overall efficiency and
 223 profitability of their operations [26]. These have particular date of application like 2 4-D is
 224 used within 30 to 40 days in wheat crop. In maize, laudis is used within 15 to 25 days. Using
 225 flat fan nozzles for pre and post-emergent herbicides is recommended, and it is best to avoid
 226 using nails for nozzle maintenance. Proper calibration of sprayers is crucial to ensure the
 227 right amount of herbicide is used. Calibration takes into account the speed of the person
 228 spraying, the capacity of the nozzle, and the pressure within the sprayer. The spraying

229 person's speed affects the output, and increasing the flow rate of the nozzle or pressure of
230 the spraying machine will increase output per hectare. Herbicides are a vital component of
231 conservation agriculture as they help achieve the objective of sustainable income generation
232 and increase productivity while maintaining a benefit cost ratio.

233 **4.3 Nutrient management**

234 **Leaf color chart:** To manage the nutrient levels in plants, farmers can use tools such as the
235 leaf color chart. The first is the leaf color chart which is a scale that has 5 or 6 green color
236 strips. These strips go from yellowish green to deep green. Compare the color of the leaves
237 with this chart. If out of 10 leaves, 6 leaves appear slightly yellow and the greenness of these
238 leaves are less than number 4 on the color chart then we provide per acre 25 kg nitrogen to
239 the farm. Normally, to identify the nitrogen status in the plants, we repeatedly use the leaf
240 color chart at specific intervals be it a period of 7 to 14 days. Usually do this till 14 days after
241 sowing but stop using the leaf color chart after flowering. This helps us to avoid excess use
242 of nitrogen and increases the usage efficiency of nitrogen and crop productivity.

243 **Green Seeker** Green Seeker is a sensor-based equipment that measures the NDVI value of
244 plants, There is a sensor fitted at the bottom with a trigger. When we pull the trigger and go
245 to the row of the plant, it keeps giving us a reading for as long as we have pulled the trigger.
246 The reading is measured in terms of NDVI and ranges from 0.00 to 0.99 with a higher NDVI
247 value signifying better health of the plant. The Green seeker helps us to prevent excess use
248 of nitrogen. Provide the right amount of nitrogen which is required by the plant which
249 indicates the health of the plant.

250 **4.4 Crop residue management**

251 Indian agriculture annually produces 501.73 million tons of crop residues, of which 92.81
252 million tons are burnt. The northwestern states, such as Uttar Pradesh, Punjab,
253 Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, and Rajasthan, have a 52.68 million ton residue surplus,
254 and most studies only focus on these regions [27]. Farmers burn around 100 million tons of
255 crop residue, causing environmental problems such as greenhouse gas emissions, loss of
256 soil nutrients, and air pollution. To manage crop residue, farmers can use machines such as
257 straw management systems, happy seeders, zero tillage machines, paddy choppers, reaper
258 combiners, and mulchers. Crop residue can also be used for producing fodder, mushrooms,
259 bio fertilizers, mulching, vermicomposting, energy source and ethanol [28]. However, paddy
260 straw has issues with digestibility and low crude protein, which can be improved by treating it
261 with urea.

262 **5.0 Benefits of Conservation Agriculture (CA):**

263 **5.1 Environmental benefits**

264 The primary reasons for soil moisture loss are evaporation and runoff, with only 10%-20% of
265 water filtering into the soil for agriculture. Conservation agriculture (CA) reduces erosion and
266 increases infiltration by maintaining surface residue. Additionally, CA's carbon sequestration
267 potential could offset 40% of annual CO₂ emissions and slow climate change [29,30].

268 **5.2 Economic benefits**

269 Conservation agriculture (CA) saves time, reduces costs, and increases efficiency by
270 lowering labour and machinery requirements, resulting in reduced fuel, operating and
271 maintenance expenses, and increased output [31].

272 **5.3 Agronomic benefits**

273 The adoption of conservation agriculture can offer several agronomic benefits that enhance
274 soil productivity. One of the significant advantages is the increase in organic matter, which
275 helps in improving soil structure, water conservation, nutrient retention, and fertilizer use

276 efficiency. By incorporating crop residues into the soil, conservation agriculture enhances the
277 organic matter content of the soil, which initially impacts the top layer but eventually extends
278 to deeper soil layers. The presence of organic matter in soil is crucial in maintaining an ideal
279 environment for plant growth by enhancing water-holding capacity, soil aggregation, rooting
280 environment, and nutrient retention. Thus, conservation agriculture can be an effective
281 strategy for improving soil productivity. [31].

282 **6.0 Conservation agriculture for sustainable intensification Scaling: A system** 283 **approach**

284 The main reason for a technology not being adopted is the lack of a system approach. The
285 adoption process is affected by various factors such as socio-economic conditions of the
286 farmer, access to resources, gender, and entitlement to land. The decision-making process
287 of a farmer is complex and not just based on economic perspectives. The technology needs
288 to offer a better value proposition than the current technology in use, increasing yield or
289 lowering the cost of cultivation. The scalability and infrastructure required for successful
290 diffusion are also important factors. Different stakeholders have a role to play in the diffusion
291 of technology, including research and development institutes, government, donors, and
292 private institutions. The process can be made effective with least resources by strengthening
293 the convergence process and identifying the right role for each stakeholder.

294 **7.0 Social-Behavioral challenge**

295 The adoption of conservation agriculture is complex and influenced by various factors such
296 as the decision-making process, behavior, age, education, and communication channels.
297 [32] identified five characteristics of a successful technology, including relative advantage,
298 complexity, compatibility, trialability, and observability. Continuous reminders and peer
299 pressure can influence farmers to adopt the technology. Risk-averse small cultivators in
300 Eastern Gangetic Plains may be hesitant to invest in new technology due to fear of crop
301 failure. Farmers may prioritize yield over cost savings, so designing messages to emphasize
302 cost savings can increase adoption rates. The dual system theory suggests that decisions
303 made after deliberation are more beneficial, and highlighting the environmental benefits of
304 conservation agriculture can appeal to the altruistic side of people. Ultimately, increasing
305 adoption rates of conservation agriculture requires a comprehensive approach that takes
306 into account the various factors that influence farmer behavior and decision-making.

307 **8.0 Access to Conservation agriculture Machineries**

308 Manufacturers face low demand for machines used in conservation agriculture, with
309 seasonal business and difficulty in procuring quality parts. Local maintenance workshops are
310 lacking, and trained technicians are needed. Spare parts are often ordered from Punjab,
311 causing delays. To address these issues, increasing machine availability and opening
312 community-based custom hiring centers for small farmers could help. Startups providing
313 machines through custom hiring or service providers could also be a viable solution. This
314 would increase the adoption of conservation agriculture, creating a larger market for these
315 machines.

316 **9.0 Conclusion:**

317 India produces 500 Mt. of crop residue annually on average. There is a massive surplus of
318 140 Mt., out of which 92 Mt. is burned annually, primarily in the northern states such as
319 Punjab, Haryana, and Uttar Pradesh, even though the majority of it is used as fodder, a raw
320 material for energy production, and so on etc. In today's era, plowing through heavy
321 machines and adopting conservation agriculture in view of the damage to the climate caused
322 by crop residues, for which burning of crop residues, along with climate change, excessive
323 temperature, uncontrolled rains, increase the temperature of crops. But-However, there is a

Comment [K5]: -Section 5 (Lines 262-281) could be presented and expanded with more details in a tabular format with the following columns: Benefits (i.e., where the given rows will have environmental, economic, agronomic and social benefits considering the indirect benefits that could be derived), Description, Source/Literature.

Comment [K6]: -Sections 6, 7 and 8: There is no need for authors to create several sections with limited content. They could place all results and discussion under one section where these sections could be sub-sections instead of main sections with limited details. Therefore, authors are advised to re-organize or restructure this review study or synthesis report for coherence and consistency purposes: Abstract, Introduction, Related works/literature review (optional) Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusion.

Comment [K7]: Conclusion:
-The study could highlight some gaps/limitations in this study briefly that could drive future studies.
-The main findings or standpoints in this study needs to be summarized clearly in the conclusion. What's given is not so appropriate.
-The study's importance or relevance to the international scientific community and other players could be highlighted briefly.

Other comments:
-Major grammatical defects and syntax errors were identified in this study. Authors are advised to seek for someone with command over English to address proficiency issues in this manuscript.

324 lot of harmful effects of diseases and all these on mankind, so adopting conservation farming
325 has become absolutely necessary in today's era.

326 REFERENCES

- 327 1. Pradhan Piyush, Verma Ajay and Kumar Mithlesh. Need of Conservation Agriculture
328 inIndia: Sustainability. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 2018;7(01):308-314. doi:
329 <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.701.033>.
- 330 2. Sarkar S, Singh RP, and Chauhan A. Crop residue burning in northern India: increasing
331 threat to greater India. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 2018;123: 6920–6934. doi:
332 10.1029/2018JD028428.
- 333 3.Fao. <http://www.fao.org/ag/ca/1a.html>
- 334 4. Islam AKM Saiful, Hossain MM and Saleque MA. Conservation Agriculture Options for a
335 RiceMaize Cropping Systems in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Rice J.* 2014;18:(1&2): 44-53.
- 336 5. Steiner KF. Conservation tillage-gateway to food security and sustainable rural
337 development.African conservation tillage network. Information series no 4. 2002.
- 338 6. Hussain I, Olson KR, Ebelhar SA. Impacts of tillage and no-till on production of maize
339 andsoybean on an eroded illinois silt loam soil. *Soil Till. Res.*1998;52:37–49.
- 340 7. Grace PR, Jain MC, Harrington L, Philip G. Long-term sustainability of the tropical
341 andsubtropical rice–wheat system: an environmental perspective. In: Ladha JK, Hill J, Gupta
342 RK,Duxbury J, Buresh RJ (eds) *Improving the productivity and sustainability of rice–*
343 *wheat*systems: issues and impact, ASA Special Publication 65. Agronomy Society of
344 America, Madison. 2003;27–43.
- 345 8. TNAU. https://agritech.tnau.ac.in/agriculture/agri_tillage_modernconcepts.html
- 346 9. Malik RK, Yadav A, Singh S, Malik RS, Balyan RS, Banga RS, Sardana PK, Jaipal S,
347 Hobbs PR, Gill G, Singh S, Gupta RK, Bellinder R. Herbicide Resistance Management and
348 Evolution of Zero-tillage—A Success Story. *Research Bulletin, CCS. Haryana Agricultural*
349 *University, Hisar, India.* 2002: 43
- 350 10. Malik RK, Yadav A, Singh S, Sardana PK, Gill G, Hobbs PR, Gill G, Bellinder R. 2003.
351 Herbicide Resistance Management and introduction of zero-tillage in wheat in India. *Proc.*
352 *Weed Sci. Soc. Am.* 2003;(43)55.
- 353 11. Duxbury JM, IP Abrol, RK Gupta, and KF Bronson. Analysis of long-term fertility
354 experiments with rice-wheat rotations in South Asia. In I.P. Abrol, K.F. Bronson, J.M.
355 Duxbury, and R.K. Gupta (eds.), *Long-term soil fertility experiments in rice-wheat cropping*
356 *systems.* Rice-Wheat Consortium Paper Series 6. New Delhi, India: RWC. 2000; vii–xxii.
- 357 12. Chauhan BS, Yadav A, and Malik RK. Zero tillage and its impact on soil properties: A
358 brief review. In Malik RK, Balyan RS, Yadav A, and Pahwa SK. (eds.), *Herbicide resistance*
359 *management and zero tillage in rice-wheat cropping system* Hisar, India CSHAU.
360 2002;109–114.
- 361 13. Verma MP and Singh JP. Zero Tillage Technology is an Alternate Method of Sowing- a
362 case study. 2009.
- 363 14. Laxmi V Erenstein O, and Gupta RK. Impact of Zero Tillage in India's Rice-Wheat
364 Systems. Mexico, D.F. CIMMYT. 2007.
- 365 15. Kapil, Kamboj BR, Jat ML, Kumar Anil, Kumar Dalip, Sidhu HS, Gathala MK, Saharawat
366 YS, Kumar V, Kumar Anil and Kumar Vivak. Operational manual for multi-crop zero till
367 planter. Cereal Systems initiative for South Asia (CSISA), International Maize and Wheat
368 Improvement Center (CIMMYT), International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), NASC
369 Complex, New Delhi, India, 2012;p. 24.

- 370 16. Baruah DC, Goswami NG and Saikia R. Manual transplanting vs. mechanical
371 transplanting of paddy: a techno-economic analysis in Assam. *J. Agril. Engg.* 2001;38(3):66-
372 72.
- 373 17. CIMMYT. [https://www.cimmyt.org/news/happy-seeder-can-reduce-air-pollution-and-
374 greenhouse-gas-emissions-while-making-profits-for-farmers/](https://www.cimmyt.org/news/happy-seeder-can-reduce-air-pollution-and-greenhouse-gas-emissions-while-making-profits-for-farmers/)
- 375 19. Singh RP, Dhaliwal HS, Humphreys E, Sidhu HS, Singh Manpreet, Singh Yadvinder and
376 Blackwell John. Economic assessment of the Happy Seeder for rice-wheat systems in
377 Punjab, India. AARES 52nd Annual conference, Canberra, ACT, Australia. 2008.
- 378 20. Sidhu HS, Singh M, Singh Y, Blackwell J, Shiv KL, Humphrey E, Jat ML, Singh V and
379 Singh S.. Development and evaluation of turbo happy seeder for sowing. wheat into heavy
380 rice residues in northwest India. *Field Crops Research.* 2015;184: 201–212.
- 381 21. Singh A, Bishnoi BK, Kumar N. and Kumar R. Constraints faced in adoption of
382 establishment techniques of wheat in Karnal and Kaithal districts of Haryana. *Economic*
383 *Affairs.* 2020;65(2):179–181.
- 384 22. Rickman, J.F.. Manual for laser land leveling, Rice-wheat Consortium Technical Bulletin
385 Series 5. New Delhi-12, India: Rice-wheat Consortium for the Indo-Gangetic Plains. 2002;pp.
386 24.
- 387 24. Kumar KP and Kannan SV. Rice residue management for rice based cropping system in
388 Cauvery delta zone—A review. *Agricultural Reviews.* 2018;39(3):241–245.
- 389 25. Singh Meena, V Choudhary, M Prakash, Yadav R, & Kumari Meena, S. (Eds.).
390 Sustainable Crop Production - Recent Advances. 2022. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.98155
- 391 26. Walia US, Singh Manpreet and Brar LS. Weed Control Efficacy of Herbicides in Zero Till
392 Wheat. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 2005;37(3 & 4):167-170.
- 393 27. Singh D, Dhiman SK, Kumar V, Babu R, Shree K, Priyadarshani A, Singh A, Shakya L,
394 Nautiyal A, Saluja S. Crop Residue Burning and Its Relationship between Health, Agriculture
395 Value Addition, and Regional Finance. *Atmosphere.* 2022;(13)1405.
396 <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13091405>
- 397 28. IARI. Crop residues management with conservation agriculture: Potential, constraints
398 and policy needs. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. 2012: vii+32
- 399 29. Li Hongwen & Li Wenying. Conservation Agriculture. Beijing, Popular Science Press.
400 2012;5.
- 401 30. Robbins, M. 2004. Carbon trading, agriculture and poverty. Bangkok, Thailand. World
402 Association of Soil and Water Conservation (WASWC). Special publication. 2004;(2):48.
- 403 31. Fao Economic benefit [https://www.fao.org/conservation-agriculture/impact/benefits-of-
404 ca/en/#:~:text=Three%20major%20economic%20benefits%20can,output%20for%20a%20lo
405 wer%20input.](https://www.fao.org/conservation-agriculture/impact/benefits-of-ca/en/#:~:text=Three%20major%20economic%20benefits%20can,output%20for%20a%20lower%20input.)
- 406 32. Rogers, Everett M, Diffusion of Innovations. New York, Free Press of Glencoe. 1962.