

Impact of Azotobacter and Nitrogen on growth and productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

ABSTRACT

Aims- To evaluate the effect of different nutrient management practices on growth, yield and yield attributes of wheat.

Study design: Randomized Block Design (RBD)

Place and Duration of Study: The experiment was conducted during Rabi season 2016-17 at Instructional Farm of Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Narendra Nagar, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.)

Methodology: The experiment consisting of 10 treatments, T₁ Control (N-0,P-60,K-40Kg ha⁻¹); T₂ (*Azotobacter*); T₃ (60 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₄ (40 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*); T₅ (80 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₆ (60 kg Nitrogen + *Azotobacter*); T₇ (100 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₈ (80 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*); T₉ (120 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₁₀ (100 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹+ *Azotobacter*) were laid down in triplicate plots in Randomized block design (RBD).Wheat variety PBW-343 was taken as test crop.

Results: The results revealed that the application of chemical fertilizers @ 100 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹+ *Azotobacter* (T₁₀) recorded the highest growth attributes viz. Plant height, dry matter accumulations, and yield attributes viz. number of grains per spike, weight of spike, test weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index.

Keywords: Azotobacter, Nitrogen, Growth attributes, Yield attributes

1.INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is a staple food of the world and belong to family Poaceae (Gramineae). Wheat is the single most important cereal crop that has been considered as integral component of the food security system of the several nations. It has been described as the 'King of cereals' because of the acreage and high productivity which also occupies a prominent position in the international food grain trade. Wheat provides nearly carbohydrates 78%, protein 14%, fat 2%, minerals 2.5%, and vitamins such as thiamine and vitamin B, as well as minerals such as zinc and iron, selenium, and magnesium make up a small percentage of the diet (Abdel *et al.*1988). Unlike other cereals, wheat contains a high amount of gluten, the protein that provides the elasticity necessary for excellent bread making. Wheat rank first in the world among the cereals both in respect of area (219.42 million hectare) and production (758.38 million metric tonnes) with productivity of wheat 3.46 tonnes per hectare. In India, it's grown in an area of 30.79-million-hectare, production of 98.51 million metric tonnes with a productivity of 3.20 tonnes per hectare (Ministry of Ag. 2017-18). Plant growth may be stimulated by N-fixation, nutrient supplementation, or the synthesis of phytohormones such as auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinins, all of which are beneficial to the plant (El-Naggar *et al.* 2020, Ahmad *et al.* 2008, Joseph *et al.* 2007). Plant hormones can also be produced by bacteria like *Pseudomonas azotobacter* and *azospirillum* (Rueda *et al.* 2016) Hanafy *et al.* 2002). *Azotobacter*, a Gamma proteobacteria member of the plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria "PGPR" group, can fix N from the atmosphere and thrive in N-free environments. They use N from the atmosphere to produce biological proteins. After the mineralization of the cellular protein, N availability is linked to cell death (Arough *et al.* 2016). Furthermore, *azotobacter* strains have been shown to improve plant growth, production, and N use efficiency for horticultural crops (Sudhakar *et al.* 2000). There were significant increases in lettuce plant height, number of leaves, and fresh weight when the plants were treated with biofertilizers such as the *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Azospirillum lipoferum* (Razmjooei *et al.* 2022). Nitrogen plays a vital role in growth processes as it is an integral

part of chlorophyll, protein and nucleic acid. Nitrogen is the most important plant nutrient and influences plant growth and production. It is a structural constituent of cell. It is an essential component of amino acids, porphyrins, flavins, purines and pyrimidine, nucleotides, flavin nucleotides, enzymes, co-enzymes and alkaloids. It is therefore, a basic constituent of life. Nitrogen is responsible for the transfer of genetic code to the off-springs. It improves the quality of leafy vegetables and fodder. Nitrogen also imparts vigorous vegetative growth and dark green colour to plants. It produces early growth and also results in delay in maturity of plants. The supply of nitrogen is related to carbohydrate utilization. When nitrogen supplies are optimum and conditions are favorable for growth, proteins are formed from the manufactured carbohydrates. It is viewed as the central element because of its role in substance synthesis. It constitutes 1.5 to 5% of the dry weight of higher plants. It also occupies a conspicuous place in the plant metabolism. All vital processes in plants are associated with proteins, of which nitrogen is an essential constituent. Consequently, to get more crop production, nitrogen application is essential in the form of chemical fertilizer. The results showed that number of tillers per unit¹, plant height, spike's length, and number of grains per spike⁻¹, 1000-grain weight and grain yield were significantly increased by increasing the nitrogen levels over control i.e., 0 kg (Ali *et al.* 2011). Hence, we aimed in this investigation to examine the role of the individual and combined applications of N and azotobacter on growth and yield parameters of wheat crop.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted during Rabi season 2020-2021 at Instructional Farm of Acharya Narendra, Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Narendra Nagar, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) to evaluate the effect of different nutrient management practices on growth and yield attributes of wheat. The soil was partially reclaimed sodic soil with silt loam texture slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.90) with low available nitrogen (159.0 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (12.60 kg ha⁻¹), and high in available potassium (245.80 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment consisting of 10 treatments, T₁ Control (N-0, P-60, K-40 kg/ha⁻¹); T₂ (*Azotobacter*); T₃ (60 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₄ (40 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*); T₅ (80 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₆ (60 kg Nitrogen + *Azotobacter*); T₇ (100 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₈ (80 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*); T₉ (120 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹); T₁₀ (100 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*) were laid down in triplicate plots in Randomized block design (RBD). Wheat variety PBW-343 was taken as test crop. At the time of sowing, the soil was again mixed thoroughly. Nitrogen was applied as urea. Uniform doses of Phosphorus and Potassium at the rate of 60 and 40 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, were applied in all the plots for wheat and rice. The fertilizers were applied in rows along-side the seed at time of sowing of wheat. Nitrogen was applied in three splits. The seed rate of wheat used was 100 kg ha⁻¹, whereas, rice seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 22.5 cm. All the standard cultural practices were followed during wheat periods. In each year, wheat was given three irrigations, viz. first at crown root initiation, second at late jointing and third at late flowering stage. The plant height (cm) and no. of tiller were recorded at 40, 80, (days post sowing) and at harvest as presented in the form of tables. The yield attributes viz., number of spikes per m², no. of grains per spike, grain weight per spike (g) and 1000-grain weight were recorded at harvest. Grain yield was recorded from the net plot size and expressed as q ha⁻¹. Straw yield was calculated by subtracting the grain yield from biological yield. Harvest index was calculated by dividing the grain yield with biological yield (grain + straw) (Kaur and Mahal, 2016). Statistical analysis was done as per randomized block design (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) and treatment means were compared at 5% level of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 GROWTH ATTRIBUTES:

Plant height increased with increasing doses of nitrogen upto 120 kg ha⁻¹ showing the value of 44.81 cm, 90.63 cm and 96.97 cm over plant⁻¹ as against 28.21 cm, 74.51 cm and 80.94 cm plant⁻¹ in control at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and at harvest. (Table 1). Increase in plant height due to nitrogen application was also reported by Liaquat *et al.* (2003). Seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* significantly increased the plant height. *Azotobacter* showed the highest as 30.42 cm, 76.45 cm and 82.63 cm plant⁻¹ as against the mean value of 28.21 cm, 74.51 cm and 80.94 cm plant⁻¹ in un-inoculation at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and harvest stage. Significant increase in plant height due to seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* also has been reported by Rubiek *et al.* (1989) and Tiwana *et al.* (2000). Highest plant

height was recorded with the treatment combination (100 kg N ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*) showing the value of 46.89 cm, 92.80 cm and 98.65 cm at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and harvest stages of crop. More increase in plant height due to nitrogen combined with *Azotobacter* was also reported by Jaychandran *et al.* (1978).

Number of leaves significantly increased upto the level of 120 kg N ha⁻¹. *Azotobacter* also increased number of leaves per plant significantly over un-inoculation (Table-2). From statistical point of view, the interaction of nitrogen levels and *Azotobacter* with regard to number of leaves per plant was significant. However, the maximum mean number of leaves per plant was noted as 4.53, 6.12 and 6.07 cm with the treatment combination of 100 kg N ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*.

Application of nitrogen increased number of tillers plant⁻¹ with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 120 kg N ha⁻¹ which showed the mean value of 7.67, 13.67 and 13.0 plant⁻¹ N-control as 4.53, 9.67 and 9.27 plant⁻¹ at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and harvest stage (Table-3). Increase in total number of tillers plant⁻¹ due to nitrogen application was also reported by Khosta and Raghu (1981), Bharti (1987), Mishra *et al.* (2001), Pandey *et al.* (2003) and Liaquat *et al.* (2003). *Azotobacter* inoculation significantly increased the number of tillers⁻¹ plant. Better tillering with value of 4.93, 10.27 and 9.87 plant⁻¹ was noted with mixed *Azotobacter* over un-inoculated control as 4.53, 9.67 and 9.27 plant⁻¹ at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and harvest stage. The increase in total number of tillers plant⁻¹ due to *Azotobacter* inoculation was also reported by Zambre and Kande (1990). Rubiek *et al.* (1989), Tiwana *et al.* (2000) and Idris, M. (2003). The highest number of tillers plant⁻¹ was recorded with the treatment combination of T₁₀ (100 kg N ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter*) to the level of 8.13, 14.27 and 13.33 plant⁻¹ at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and harvest stage of crop respectively.

The maximum dry matter accumulation was recorded 38.39, 195.59 and 276.67 (g) at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and harvest stage value which was recorded with application of 100 kg Nitrogen + *Azotobacter* (Table-4). The lowest dry matter accumulation was recorded 22.84, 171.24 and 251.33(g) at 40 DAS, 80 DAS and harvest stages control condition. More dry matter accumulation on the inoculation over un-inoculate control. Increase in the dry matter accumulation due to nitrogen application was also reported by Singh and Anderson (1993).

3.2 YIELD AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES

Data depicted in the table -4 shows that yield attributes of wheat was significantly affected by nitrogen application upto 120 kg N ha⁻¹, while the maximum yield attributes viz. Number of spike (286.33 m⁻²), Number of grains spike⁻¹ (49.00) Test weight (43.95 g) was recorded under the treatment applying 100 kg Nitrogen + *Azotobacter* (T₁₀) which was at par with the treatment consisting T₉-120 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹. Results fall in the conformity with the report of other workers (Khosta and Raghu, 1981 and Wajid *et al.* 2002). Seed inoculation of *Azotobacter* significantly increased the yield attributes.

Application of nitrogen was responsible for general improvement in the growth and development of her plant, which had an overall favorable effect on grain and straw yields. It was evident that increasing doses of nitrogen significantly increased the grain yield upto 120 kg N ha⁻¹. Data depicted in the table -5 shows that Maximum yield of 45.7q ha⁻¹ was recorded at 120 kg N ha⁻¹ while minimum yield to the level of 38.1 q ha⁻¹ was recorded in control in the same order Similar trend of result was noted in case of straw yield also. Significant increase in the grain and straw yield due to nitrogen in the study corroborates the findings of Singh and Singh (1989), and Pandey *et al.* (2003). *Azotobacter* inoculation significantly increased the grain and straw yield over un-inoculate control. Although inoculation of *Azotobacter* along with 39.0 q ha⁻¹ as compared to 38.1 in un-inoculated control. Similar trend was noted in case of straw yield also. Increase in grain and straw yield of wheat due to *Azotobacter* inoculation was also reported by Maskey (1977), Poi and Kabi (1979) and Singh *et al.* (2000). Interaction of nitrogen levels and *Azotobacter* in relation to wheat yield (grain and straw) was significant. However apparently, the inoculation response at higher dose of nitrogen was lower as compared to no or lower dose of nitrogen. Maximum gain yield (47.9 q ha⁻¹) was noted in the treatment combination of 100 kg N ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter* inoculation. Higher crop yields due to combined use of nitrogen and *Azotobacter* has also been reported by Shivankar *et al.* (1993), Soliman *et al.*

(1995) and Tomar *et al.* (1995). Nitrogen economy through *Azotobacter* inoculation ranging from 24 to 30 kg ha⁻¹ has been reported by Raut *et al.* (2001).

4. CONCLUSION

The integrated use of organic sources (*viz.* bio-fertilizer) along with inorganic fertilizers positively affected the growth, and yield of wheat crop. The potential yield of wheat crop could be achieved by adopting treatment as T₁₀ (100 kg ha⁻¹ Nitrogen + *Azotobacter*) and considered to be the most effective treatment for sustainable wheat production.

8. REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aal E-S, Sosulski F, Hucl P. Origins, characteristics, and potentials of ancient wheats. *Cereal Foods World*. 1998;43(9):708-715.
- Ahmad, F.; Ahmad, I.; Khan, M. Screening of free-living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities. *Microbiol. Res*. 2008, 163, 173–181.
- Ali A, Ahmad A, Syed, WH, Khaliq T, Asif M, Aziz M, Mubeen M. Effects of nitrogen on growth and yield components of wheat. (Report). *Sci. Int. (Lahore)* 2011; 23 (4), 331-332.
- Arough, Y.K.; Sharifi, R.S.; Sedghi, M.; Barmaki, M. Effect of zinc and bio fertilizers on antioxidant enzymes activity, chlorophyll content, soluble sugars and proline in triticale under salinity condition. *Not. Bot. Horti Agrobot. Cluj-Napoca* 2016, 44, 116–124.
- Bharti, Adesh; Singh Tej; Singh, Harbir and Faroda, A.S. Response of late sown wheat varieties to nitrogen. *Indian J. Agron*. 1987; 32 (3): 250-252.
- El-Naggar, M.E.; Abdelsalam, N.R.; Fouda, M.M.G.; Mackled, M.I.; Al-Jaddadi, M.A.M.; Ali, H.M.; Siddiqui, M.H.; Kandil, E.E. Soil Application of Nano Silica on Maize Yield and Its Insecticidal Activity Against Some Stored Insects After the Post-Harvest. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 739.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research*. 2nd 680p. Wiley, N. York, USA. 1984.
- Hanafy Ahmed, A.; Mishriky, J.; Khalil, M. Reducing nitrate accumulation in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) plants by using different biofertilizers. *Ann. Agric. Sci*. 2002, 47, 27–42.
- Jaychandran, S. Kandasamy, D.S. Boopathy, S. N. N. R. and Govindaswamy, C. V. Effect of *Azotobacter* on maize, *Madras Agril. J. Res*. 1978; 65 (11): 736-737.
- Joseph, B.; Patra, R.R.; Lawrence, R. Characterization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria associated with chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int. J. Plant. Prod*. 2007, 1, 141–152.
- Kaur, J. and Mahal, S.S. Influence of Paddy straw mulch on crop productivity economics of bed and flat sown wheat. *Journal of environmental biology*. 2016;38:243-250.
- Khosta, L.D. and Raghu, J.S. Response of wheat to irrigation schedules in relation to rate and time of nitrogen application. *Indian J. Agron*. 1981; 26 (3): 262-266.
- Liaquat, Ali; Qamar-Mohy-Ud-Din; Ali, Mushtaq. Effect of different doses of nitrogen fertilizer on the yield of wheat. *Int. J. Agri. Biology*, 2003; 5: (4), 438-439.
- Maskey, S.I. Effect of inoculation of *Azotobacter* on the yield of wheat and paddy (rice) Nepalese, *J. Agric*. 1977; 12: 23-33.

Ministry of Ag 2017-18. <https://agricoop.nic.in/sites/default/files/Krishi%20AR%202017-18%20for%20web.pdf>

Mishra, Jagdish, Mishra, P.H. and Pandey, I.P. Nitrogen and sulphur nutrition of wheat crop. 2nd dry matter production, nutrient content and uptake. *Ann. Pl. Soil. Res.* 2001;3 (1): 89-93.

Pandey, I.B. Singh, H., and Tiwan, S. Response of timely sown wheat to level and time of nitrogen Application. *J. Res. Birsa. April. Univ.* 2003; 15: 1, 35-38.

Poi, S.C. and Kabl, M.C. Effect of *Azotobacter* inoculation on growth and yield of jute and wheat. *India J. Agri. Sci.* 1979; 49 (6): 478-480.

Raut, D. Satapathy, M.R. and Mohapatra, B.K. Effect of biofertilizer on nitrogen economy in Maize. *Madras J. Agric.* 2001; 88: 7-9, 530-532.

Razmjooei, Z.; Etemadi, M.; Eshghi, S.; Ramezani, A.; Mirazimi Abarghuei, F.; Alizargar, J. Potential Role of Foliar Application of *Azotobacter* on Growth, Nutritional Value and Quality of Lettuce under Different Nitrogen Levels. *Plants*, 2022, 11, 406.

Rubiak, T-de-la, Gonzalez-Lopez, J. Moreno, J. Martinez-Toledo, M. V. Ramos Cormenzana, A. and De-la-Rubik, T. Isolation and characterization of *Azotobacter* Spp. From root of *Sorghum bicolor*. *Microbios.* 1989; 57 (321): 113-119.

Rueda, D.; Valencia, G.; Soria, N.; Rueda, B.B.; Manjunatha, B.; Kundapur, R.R.; Selvanayagam, M. Effect of *Azospirillum* spp. And *Azotobacter* spp. on the growth and yield of strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*) in hydroponic system under different nitrogen levels. *Appl. Pharm. Sci.* 2016, 6, 48–54.

Shivanker, S.K. Dudhe, Y.H. and Borkar, G.M. Performance of *Azotobacter* culture seed treatment with different doses of nitrogen on yield of crop. *PKV. Res. J.* 1983; 17: (1), 14-15.

Singh, R. P. and Anderson, R.G. Dry matter Accumulation in Wheat plant as influenced by nitrogen fertilization. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.* 1993; 48: 696-701.

Soliman, S. Seeda, M.A. Aly. S.S.M. and Gadalla, A.M. Nitrogen fixation by wheat plants as affected by nitrogen fertilizer levels and symbiotic bacteria. *Egyptian J. Soil. Sci.* 1995; 35 (4): 401-413.

Sudhakar, P.; Chattopadhyay, G.; Gangwar, S.; Ghosh, J. Effect of foliar application of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and *Beijerinckia* on leaf yield and quality of mulberry (*Morus alba*). *J. Agric. Sci.* 2000, 134, 227–234.

Tiwana, M. S. Tiwana, U. S. Puri, K. P. Thind, I. S. and Kaur, K. Response of oats fodder to *Azotobacter chroococcum* in combination with nitrogen in international conference on Managing Natural Resources for sustainable Agriculture production in the 21st Century. New Delhi, February, 14-18. National Resources Proceeding. New Delhi. 2000; 2: 579-680.

Tomar, R.K.S. Nemdeo, K.N. Raghu, J.S. and Tiwari, K.P. Efficiency of *Azotobacter* and Plant Growth Regulators on productivity of Wheat (*T. aestivum*) in relation to fertilizer Application. *Ind. J. Agril. Sci.* 1995; 65: 4, 256-259.

Wajid, A.; Hussain, A.; Ahmad, A.; Maqsood, M. and Awais, M. Effect of different rate of nitrogen application on growth and grain yield of various wheat cultivars. *Int J. Agrn: Biology*, 2002; 4: (1), 17-19.

Zambre. M. A. and Kande. B. K. Growth and yield responses of wheat cultivars to inoculation with N₂-fixing bacteria under field condition. *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*. 1990; 8 (2): 109-11.

Table-1. Plant height (cm) of wheat as influenced by *Azotobacter* and nitrogen.

| S. No. | Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------|
| | | 40 DAS | 80 DAS | At harvest |
| T ₁ | Control (N-0,P-60,K-40Kg ha ⁻¹) | 28.21 | 74.51 | 80.94 |
| T ₂ | <i>Azotobacter</i> | 30.42 | 76.45 | 82.63 |
| T ₃ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 32.33 | 78.35 | 84.39 |
| T ₄ | 40 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 34.58 | 80.30 | 86.04 |
| T ₅ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 36.08 | 82.62 | 88.36 |
| T ₆ | 60 kg Nitrogen + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 38.45 | 84.75 | 90.32 |
| T ₇ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 40.49 | 86.20 | 92.95 |
| T ₈ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 42.49 | 88.71 | 94.91 |
| T ₉ | 120 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 44.81 | 90.63 | 96.97 |
| T ₁₀ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 46.89 | 92.80 | 98.65 |
| SEm± | | 1.48 | 3.29 | 1.98 |
| CD at 5 % | | 4.40 | 9.78 | 5.88 |

Table-2. Number of leaves plant⁻¹ of wheat as influenced by *Azotobacter* and nitrogen.

| S. No. | Treatments | Number of leaves plant ⁻¹ | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| | | 40 DAS | 80 DAS | At harvest |
| T ₁ | Control (N-0, P-60, K-40 Kg ha ⁻¹) | 3.20 | 3.65 | 3.53 |
| T ₂ | <i>Azotobacter</i> | 3.47 | 4.13 | 4.06 |
| T ₃ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 3.73 | 4.27 | 4.26 |
| T ₄ | 40 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 4.13 | 4.33 | 4.20 |
| T ₅ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 4.27 | 4.60 | 4.53 |
| T ₆ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 4.33 | 5.07 | 5.13 |
| T ₇ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 4.34 | 5.33 | 5.22 |
| T ₈ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 4.47 | 5.73 | 5.41 |
| T ₉ | 120 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 4.48 | 5.80 | 5.67 |
| T ₁₀ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 4.53 | 6.12 | 6.07 |
| SEm± | | 0.27 | 0.49 | 0.30 |
| CD at 5% | | 0.80 | 1.45 | 0.88 |

Table-3. Dry matter accumulation (g)/m² of wheat as influenced by *Azotobacter* and nitrogen.

| S. No. | Treatments | Dry matter accumulation (g)/m ² | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| | | 40 DAS | 80 DAS | At harvest |
| T ₁ | Control (N-0, P-60, K-40Kg ha ⁻¹) | 22.84 | 171.24 | 251.33 |
| T ₂ | <i>Azotobacter</i> | 25.13 | 175.90 | 255.00 |
| T ₃ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 30.34 | 178.08 | 258.33 |
| T ₄ | 40 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 29.88 | 180.28 | 261.73 |
| T ₅ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 32.10 | 183.30 | 263.83 |
| T ₆ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 32.79 | 185.16 | 266.67 |
| T ₇ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 34.23 | 188.94 | 268.67 |
| T ₈ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 35.12 | 190.41 | 271.33 |
| T ₉ | 120 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 36.18 | 193.60 | 273.33 |
| T ₁₀ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 38.39 | 195.59 | 276.67 |
| SEm± | | 1.48 | 4.03 | 8.46 |
| CD at 5% | | 4.39 | 11.97 | 25.14 |

Table-4. Yield attributes of wheat as influenced by *Azotobacter* and nitrogen.

| S. No. | Treatments | Number of spike (m ²) | Number of grains spike ⁻¹ | Test Weight (g) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| T ₁ | Control (N-0, P-60, K-40 Kg ha ⁻¹) | 216.33 | 38.67 | 35.23 |
| T ₂ | <i>Azotobacter</i> | 238.00 | 42.67 | 39.56 |
| T ₃ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 238.67 | 44.00 | 40.49 |
| T ₄ | 40 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 246.67 | 44.33 | 40.85 |
| T ₅ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 254.33 | 45.00 | 41.87 |
| T ₆ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 258.67 | 45.67 | 43.17 |
| T ₇ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 263.33 | 46.00 | 43.08 |
| T ₈ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 264.33 | 46.67 | 43.92 |
| T ₉ | 120 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 284.00 | 47.67 | 43.95 |
| T ₁₀ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 286.33 | 49.00 | 44.81 |
| SEm± | | 18.94 | 2.59 | 1.33 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 56.27 | 7.69 | 3.96 |

Table-5. Yield and harvest index of wheat as influenced by Azotobacter and nitrogen.

| S. No. | Treatments | Grain yield (q ha⁻¹) | Straw yield (q ha⁻¹) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| T ₁ | Control (N-0, P-60, K-40 Kg ha ⁻¹) | 38.1 | 64.37 | 37.2 |
| T ₂ | <i>Azotobacter</i> | 39.0 | 66.70 | 36.9 |
| T ₃ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 43.4 | 64.50 | 40.2 |
| T ₄ | 40 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 44.0 | 66.83 | 39.7 |
| T ₅ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 45.1 | 68.07 | 39.9 |
| T ₆ | 60 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 45.5 | 67.27 | 40.3 |
| T ₇ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 45.3 | 68.80 | 39.7 |
| T ₈ | 80 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 46.5 | 68.43 | 40.4 |
| T ₉ | 120 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ | 45.7 | 69.03 | 39.8 |
| T ₁₀ | 100 kg Nitrogen ha ⁻¹ + <i>Azotobacter</i> | 47.9 | 69.73 | 40.7 |
| SEm± | | 1.20 | 1.71 | NS |
| C.D.at 5% | | 3.56 | 5.07 | NS |