

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97425
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of integrated nutrient management on growth of Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicon</i> L.) cv. Pusa Ruby
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Abstract : abstract should no contain only results. The discussion part is lack in abstract 2. Materials and methods: Not written in proper way. It has to rewrite in the article format. What statistical analysis package used to analyses the data is not mentioned . 3. Results: Yield is the main factor to fix the treatment is good or not. Yield data is not included in this article 4. This article not contain yield data and not presented in correct way, it needs to rewrite and resubmit including yield data	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs to revised majorly including yield data and resubmit for review	
Optional/General comments	It is not written in proper way as per the format of journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Shanthi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India