

Response of integrated nutrient management on growth of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) cv. Pusa Ruby

Abstract

The present investigation entitles "Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) cv. Pusa Ruby" was carried out at department of Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (Uttar Pradesh), during the year 2020-21. The treatment comprised of twelve treatments *i.e.*, T₁ Control (No treatment), T₂ RDF (100%), T₃ FYM (100%), T₄ Azotobacter (100%), T₅ Azospirillum (100%), T₆ RDF + FYM (50% each), T₇ RDF + Azotobacter (50% each), T₈ RDF + Azospirillum (50% each), T₉ FYM + Azotobacter (50% each), T₁₀ FYM + Azospirillum (50% each), T₁₁ Azotobacter + Azospirillum (50% each) and T₁₂ RDF + FYM + Azotobacter + Azospirillum (25% each). The experiment was laid out in Randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The results indicated that among maximum plant height were recorded (16.15, 22.11, 26.59 and 30.53 cm), respectively, in treatment T₁₂ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAT. The maximum number of branches were recorded (4.36 5.79, 6.31 and 7.04) in treatment T₁₂ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAT. Minimum number of days required for flower blooming (32.44) in T₁₂. A maximum number of flowers per plant (39.34) were recorded at T₁₂ and also maximum number of clusters per plant was recorded (9.78) in T₁₂.

Key Word: Integrated nutrient management, Tomato, Azotobacter, Azospirillum

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) 2n=24, is one of the important vegetable crops which contains some important minerals and vitamins. Tomato, the world's largest grown vegetable crop known as a protective food occupies an important place in the economy of human societies because of its high nutritive value-added products and its wide spread production in different agro- climatic conditions.

This can be accomplished through integrated nutrient management, which involves a combined use of fertilizers and organics to sustain crop production and maintenance of soil health (Nanjappa *et al.*, 2001). Also, the organic manures supply the trace of micronutrients, which is not supplied by chemical fertilizers (Kachat *et al.* 2001). However, bio-fertilizers offer an alternative to chemical inputs, which have ability to mobilize the nutritionally important elements from non-usable to usable through biological process and are known to increase yield in several vegetables (Purkayastha *et al.* 1998 and Kumar *et al.* 2001). Organic manures like FYM, Vermicompost and Pressmud are available in our locality and can be efficiently utilized for vegetable production besides bio-fertilizers are cost effective and renewable source of plant nutrients to supplement the chemical fertilizers. Bio-fertilizers are natural manures containing carrier-based micro-organism which helps in enhancing the productivity by biological nitrogen fixation or solubilizing of insoluble phosphate and decomposed from wastage resulting in the release of plant nutrients. In recent years, the concept of integrated nutrient supply use or

management systems involves efficient and judicious supply of all major components of plant nutrient sources. Chemical fertilizers in combination with animal manures, farm yard manures, vermi-compost, bio-fertilizers, crop residues or recyclable waste and other locally available nutrient sources for sustaining soil fertility, health and productivity which assumes significance.

The integrated supply and use of plant nutrients from the chemical fertilizers and organic manures have been proved to produce higher crop yields than when each applied alone. This increase in crop productivity results from their combined effect the synergistic effect, improve chemical, physical and biological properties of the soil. Manure and fertilizers are the kingpins of improved technology contributing about 50-60% increase in productivity of vegetable in India irrespective of soil and agro-ecological zone. But without an integrated supply and use of plant nutrient from chemical fertilizers and organic sources, increased production is not possible. The soil analysis from these sites clearly showed that the unbalanced use of fertilizer over a long period led to emergence of deficiency of one or the other plant nutrients not included in the fertilizers schedule as these nutrients got depleted from the soils with higher biomass harvest under intensive agriculture. However, the yields of crops considerably improved where application of 20 tonnes FYM per ha along with recommended dose of NPK were applied. This emphasizes the necessity of an integrated nutrient supply and use with a harmonious combination of chemical fertilizers, organic manures and bio-fertilizers to maximize nutrients use efficiency and minimize their losses to achieve the goals of improving and sustaining the soil fertility, soil water relationship and their quality as well as socioeconomic conditions of the farmers. In Tomato, azotobacter and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria are mainly used *azotobacter spp.*, Azotobacter is free living nitrogen fixing bacteria, which fixing nitrogen equivalent to 30-40 kg/ha. It also produces hormones like IAA and GA3 vitamin, like biotin, folic acid supported by judicious use of organic matter ensure good seed germination and increasing productivity. These bio-fertilizers play a significant role in solubilizing insoluble phosphate. Around 95-95% of total soil phosphorous is insoluble which is not directly available to plants. The phosphate solubilizing bacteria may convert insoluble form of phosphate to soluble form by producing organic acid. About 15-25% of insoluble phosphate can be solubilized saving chemical fertilizer significantly. It produces growth promoting substances to like IAA, Gibberellins, Cytokinin and Vitamin B etc. These bacteria secrete some fungi static and antibiotic substances which help in producing occurrence of certain crop diseases and resistance in plant. Its help in decomposing plant residue in soil, thereby improving soil structure which also helps in increasing water holding capacity of soil. In order to meet the demand of vegetables for rising population of 21st century, one should be causes to manage nutrients for proper growth of the plants and soil fertility. In view of this, present investigation entitled **“Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) cv. Pusa Ruby.**

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation entitles “Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) cv. Pusa Ruby” was carried out at department of horticulture, BBAU, Lucknow, during the year 2020-21. The treatment comprised of twelve level

T₁ Control (No treatment), T₂ RDF (100%), T₃ FYM (100%), T₄ Azotobacter (100%), T₅ Azospirillum (100%), T₆ RDF + FYM (50% each), T₇ RDF + Azotobacter (50% each), T₈ RDF + Azospirillum (50% each), T₉ FYM + Azotobacter (50% each), T₁₀ FYM + Azospirillum (50% each), T₁₁ Azotobacter + Azospirillum (50% each) and T₁₂ RDF + FYM + Azotobacter + Azospirillum (25% each). The experiment was laid out in Randomized block design (RBD), with three replications. During the *Rabi* season of 2020- 21 at the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (Uttar Pradesh) which is subjected to the extreme of weather conditions. Geographically this area falls under humid sub-tropical climate and located between 18.6° and 20.20° north latitude and 76.0° and 78.0° east longitude on an elevation of about 123 meters from sea level in the genetic alluvial plains of eastern Uttar Pradesh, which is subjected to the extreme of weather conditions.

VARIETY

One variety of tomato *viz.* Pusa Ruby was selected for the present study. The seeds of the cultivar were obtained from the Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. Pusa Ruby was can even set fruit when night temperatures drop to 8 °C. The plant has moderate foliage cover and prolific bearing. The fruits are flattish-round, smooth and develop a uniform red colour at maturity.

1	Net plot size	1.80 x1.20 m
2	Field border	1.00 m
3	No. of row in each plot	04
4	No. of columns in each plot	04
5	Main irrigation channel	1 m
6	Block Border	1 m
7	Spacing	45 x 30 cm
8	Date of seed sowing	2 nd November, 2020
9	Date of transplanting	7 th December, 2020

Observations were recorded:

A) Growth characters:

- 1. Plant height (cm):** Plant height was measured in centimeters from the base to the top of the plant by meter scale.
- 2. Number of branches per plant.** Branches were counted which was started after 30 days after transplanting.

B) Flowering characters:

- 1. Number of days to first flowering-** The of flower initiation one each tagged plant was recorded and three flowers per.
- 2. Number of flowers per plant-** Number of flowers appeared on individual plants in a plot was recorded
- 3. Number of flower cluster per plant-** Number of flowers cluster appeared on individual plants in a plot were recorded

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads.

Growth characters

Plant height (cm)

The results indicated that maximum plant height were recorded (16.15, 22.11, 26.59 and 30.53cm), respectively in T₁₂ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAT, which was at par with T₁₁ and T₁₀ at 30, 60, and 120 DAT also at par with T₁₁ at 90 DAT. While minimum plant height were recorded (12.78, 16.49, 20.95 and 24.52 cm), respectively in T₁ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAT). The effect of FYM, Neem-cake, Azospirillum, phosphor-bacteria and NPK in different combinations on tomato plant. Results showed that a combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers gave the best result in terms of growth, plant height. Similar results were obtained by Kumaran *et al.* (1998), Naidu *et al.* (1990). Number of primary branches, dry matter accumulation and leaf area index were significantly influenced by application of organic manures, inorganic fertilizers, biofertilizers and organic formulations. Results were similarly found Kumar *et al.* (2013), Bhardwaj *et al.* (2010).

Number of branches per plant-

The data clearly indicates that different treatments increase the number of branches. The maximum number of branches were recorded (4.36, 5.79 6.31 and 7.04) in T₁₂ at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAT, which is a at par with T₈, T₁₀ and T₁₁ at 30 DAT also at par with T₈ and T₉ at 90 DAT. Whereas minimum number of branches were recorded (2.67, 3.26, 4.08 and 4.75) in the T₁. Numbers of branches are the contributors of yield as they bear the leaves, which fix the carbon dioxide through 34 photosynthetic mechanisms. As far as tomato is concerned, the leaf production is an important phenomenon especially at the time of fruiting, since every leaf is acting as a source of assimilates for all the developing fruits. The results of the study are similar with Siddaling *et al.* (2017).

Flowering character:

Days of first flowering-

The data on the number of days taken by plants for blooming are the data indicated that the required a minimum of days T₁₂ (32.44) and maximum were found in treatments T₁ (37.72). Might invested that combined application of bio- fertilizers and inorganic NPK which increased the number of flowers per plant, fruit weight and fruit set increased in the crop fertilized with both organic and inorganic sources as compared to the crop treated with NPK alone. Similar result was found Raut *et al.* (2003)

Number of flowers per plant:

A maximum number of flowers per plant (39.34) were recorded at T₁₂. While the minimum number of flowers per plant (24.26) was recorded from T₁ (control). This might be due to the fact that nitrogen in plants increased cell division and cell differentiation. Thus, plant remained in vegetative phase and resulted in imbalance between C: N ration, thus delayed flowering at higher nitrogen level. The findings are in agreement with findings of Parmar *et al.* (2019).

Number of flower cluster per plant:

A maximum number of clusters per plant (9.78) were recorded at T₁₂. While the minimum number of clusters per plant (5.67) was recorded from control (T₁). This might be due to the fact that nitrogen in plants increased cell division and cell differentiation. Thus, plant remained in vegetative phase and resulted in imbalance between C: N ration, thus delayed flowering at higher nitrogen level. The findings are in agreement with findings of Parmar *et al.* (2019).

4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation, it is concluded that T₁₂ (RDF + FYM + Azotobacter + Azospirillum (25% each), gave superior enhancing performance in all growth parameters

5. REFERENCES

1. Bhardwaj A.K., Kumar P. and Singh R.K. Response of nitrogen and pre-planting treatment of seedlings with the Azotobacter on growth and productivity of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). *Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research*. 2007;2(1):15-17.
2. Kachat N.A., Malvia D.D., Solanki R.M. and Sagarka, B.K. Integrated nutrient management in rainy season groundnut. *Indian journal of Agronomy*. 2001;46 (3): 516-5
3. Kumar A., Singh R., Chhillar R.K. and Pal M. Influence of fertility levels and support management of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) under different planting methods. *Research Hisar*. 2001;22(3): 437-441.
4. Kumaran S.S., Natarajan S. and Thamburaj S. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth and quality of tomato cv. *South Indian Horticulture*. 1998;46 (3-6): 203-205.
5. Naidu A.K., Kushwah S.S., Mehta A.K. and Jain P.K. Study of organic and inorganic and bio-fertilizer in relation to growth and yield of tomato. *JNKV Research Journal*. 2002;35(1/2): 36-37.
6. Nanjappa I.I.O, Ramachandrappa B.K. and Mallikarjuna B.O. Effect on integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient balance in maize. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2001;46 (4):668-701.
7. Panse V.G. and Sukhatme P.V. Statistical method for agriculture of workers. 5th Ed. ICAR, New Delhi. 1998.
8. Parmar U., Tembhre D., Das M. P. and Pradhan J. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth development and yield traits of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). *Research Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8(3): 2764-2768.
9. Purkaystha T.J., Singh C.S. and Chhonkar P.K. Growth and iron nutrition of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L.) growth in typicustochrept, as influenced by VAM fungi in presence of pyrite and farm yard manure. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 1998;27(1):45-48.
10. Raut R.L., Naidu A.K., jain P.K. and Rajwade V.B. Influences of organic and chemical sources of nutrients on the yield fruit quality and storage of tomato in Madhya Pradesh. *JNKV research journal*. 2003;37(1):30-33.
11. Siddaling N., Kempegowda K. and Raghavendra H. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) var. Arka Rakshak. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2017;16(2):1-7.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Plant height cm and number of branches in tomato

S. No.	Treatments	Plant height cm (DAT)				Number of branches (DAT)			
		30	60	90	120	30	60	90	120
1	Control (No treatment)	12.78	16.49	20.95	24.52	2.67	3.26	4.08	4.75
2	RDF (100%)	14.20	18.80	22.53	25.43	2.94	3.79	4.99	5.55
3	FYM (100%)	14.14	18.57	22.24	27.09	2.80	3.45	4.70	5.15
4	Azotobacter (100%)	13.85	19.04	23.40	26.22	3.39	3.98	5.35	5.85
5	Azospirillum (100%)	14.68	20.06	21.84	25.55	3.67	3.88	4.96	5.74
6	RDF + FYM (50% each)	16.07	17.02	23.42	26.96	3.38	4.06	4.70	5.26
7	RDF + Azotobacter (50% each)	15.61	17.98	22.91	26.03	3.10	3.54	4.78	5.55
8	RDF + Azospirillum (50% each)	14.10	18.53	23.63	26.21	3.85	4.18	5.46	6.07
9	FYM + Azotobacter (50% each)	14.92	18.63	23.38	27.79	3.58	3.98	5.45	5.76
10	FYM + Azospirillum (50% each)	15.46	19.38	23.69	28.90	3.72	4.06	5.07	6.07
11	Azotobacter + Azospirillum (50% each)	15.12	20.35	22.60	28.98	3.88	4.15	4.94	5.87
12	RDF + FYM + Azotobacter + Azospirillum (25%each)	16.15	22.11	26.59	30.53	4.36	5.79	6.31	7.04
	S.E. +	0.367	0.782	0.603	0.894	0.252	0.309	0.338	0.250
	C.D.	1.083	2.310	1.781	2.638	0.745	0.911	0.997	0.737

Table 2: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Days taken of first flowering, Number of flowers per plant and Number of flower cluster per plant of tomato

S. No.	Treatment	Days taken of first flowering	Number of flowers per plant	Number of flower cluster per plant
1	Control (No treatment)	37.72	24.64	5.67
2	RDF (100%)	36.75	29.05	6.84
3	FYM (100%)	36.54	31.10	6.56
4	Azotobacter (100%)	36.60	32.10	8.16
5	Azospirillum (100%)	36.45	34.59	6.58
6	RDF + FYM (50% each)	36.30	29.66	6.78
7	RDF + Azotobacter (50% each)	36.57	28.02	7.28
8	RDF + Azospirillum (50% each)	37.03	35.44	8.13
9	FYM + Azotobacter (50% each)	36.83	36.75	8.31
10	FYM + Azospirillum (50% each)	36.60	35.63	7.88
11	Azotobacter + Azospirillum (50% each)	36.27	36.32	8.30
12	RDF + FYM + Azotobacter + Azospirillum (25% each)	32.44	39.34	9.78
S.E. +		0.727	0.727	0.479
C. D		2.146	2.146	1.414

UNDER PEER REVIEW