

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_97256</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of iron, molybdenum and Rhizobium on growth, yield attributes and yield of summer groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) in loamy sand soil</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Practice and science article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The article is of simultaneous practical and scientific interest, as it is devoted to both practical issues that are associated with an increase in the yield of one of the main crops of India - peanuts, and scientific research performed on the effect of various chemicals on this growth.  The title of the article suitable  The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate  I think the manuscript is scientifically correct  The references sufficient and recent are good	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is quite interesting and can be recommended for publication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Yakov G. Verkhivker</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odessa National University of Technology, Ukraine</b>