

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_97245</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of Early, Timely &amp; Late Genotypes of Brassica sps. Grown Under Different Temperature Conditions for Oil Content &amp; Fatty Acid Composition</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and have some practical importance.</b> <b>Title of the article need some changes. It should be "Response of Early, Timely &amp; Late Genotypes of Brassica sps. Grown Under Different Temperature Conditions for maintaining Oil Content &amp; Fatty Acid Composition"</b> <b>Abstract of the article is comprehensively written but conclusion of the research findings should be mentioned properly.</b> Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but more references should be added to improve the quality of the manuscript. Format of the manuscript need changes.  Manuscript is scientifically correct but findings of the study should be expressed properly. Descriptive study of the manuscript should be added.  References should be written in a proper format. Some references may also be added to improve the quality of the manuscript.	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language of the manuscript is appropriately written and proper use of grammar has been used.	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Arindam Ghosh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sister Nivedita University, India</b>