

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97157
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of yield losses due to <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> on cucumber (<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Has socio-economic importance for exact analysis which revolutionizes future Agriculture.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Needs improvement</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No...Latest can be incorporated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs improvement	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1)The title page should contain a brief title, name(s) of author(s) and their affiliations.The name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) along with full postal address and e-mail address.</p> <p>2) References should follow the style as per manuscript guidelines.</p> <p>3)GPS of study areas can be included</p> <p>4) Check author guidelines for declaration of AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS,ethical approval etc .</p> <p>5)Graphical representation needed.</p> <p>6) Mode of action of active compounds should be predicted from previous papers.</p> <p>7) Positive and negative controls should be represented in tabular form and should analyse with reasons that might increase or decrease in values.</p> <p>8) How standard is reflecting on evaluation of data should be properly discussed.</p> <p>9) Along with high yielding best results, low yielding results should be discussed and reason for high and low yields should be analysed and discussed.</p> <p>10)Conclusion can be written properly in the form of paragraph predicting the future use of technology with present usage.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surya Satya Sravanthi Pammi
Department, University & Country	SVRK Government Degree College, India