

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97151
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of Irrigation Scheduling and Foliar Organic Nutrition on yield and economics of Summer Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.)”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	fair yes yes yes fair fair Aim of the work is not clear In materials and methods, the properties of the soli were given. It is not mentioned whether the data is determined or obtained from literature. If it is from literature, reference should be included. The sample treatment and its codes can be presented in table format for easy understanding for the readers. In materials and methods, the treatment area or the number of plants was not indicated. Few more parameters can be added to strengthen the article. The concept of the work is not fair. It is known that frequent and regular interval irrigation enhance the growth and yield of the plant. The same concept was reflected in the result. What is the meaning of taking this parameter (irrigation days) to compare the yield and growth? The result was not properly discussed.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. N. Meena Devi
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India