

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97136
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of liquid jeevamrutha on growth yield and quality of China aster (<i>Callistephus chinensis</i> [L.] Nees.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3- The abstract of the article needs to be revised.</p> <p>4- In the introduction section, it is better to provide a literature review of studies that investigated the effect of liquid jeevamrutha on the growth yield and quality of China aster and other flowers. The materials and methods section is incompletely written. It is better to provide the following information in this section.</p> <p>1- In the form of a table, all the climatic information of the tested area should be presented.</p> <p>2- In the form of a table, all the information related to the physical and chemical properties of the soil of the tested farms should be presented.</p> <p>3- In the form of a table, all the information related to the quality of water used in the tested farms should be provided.</p> <p>4- Tests and statistical software used for data analysis should be introduced.</p> <p>5- It is better to provide pictures from different stages of planting to harvest and field and laboratory measurements.</p> <p>It is better to provide suggestions for the continuation and practical application of the research at the end of the conclusion section.</p> <p>5- Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- New and appropriate references have been used in this study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality is of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hedieh Ahmadpari
Department, University & Country	Iran Technical and Vocational Training Organization, Iran