

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97136
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of liquid jeevamrutha on growth yield and quality of China aster (<i>Callistephus chinensis</i> [L.] Nees.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article about the species <i>Callistephus chinensis</i> is particularly interesting, the way of presenting the data adds value. These fertilization application data should be taken into account by all growers of the plant. Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>Some data on the type of soil on which the research was carried out can also be included in the abstract.</p> <p>The introduction part can be complete with more information about the consequences of fertilization effect, with some scientific papers from the literature representative for the topic. Each stage was respected: abstract, keywords, introduction, material and method, conclusions, bibliography. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. Bibliographic titles can be added, with the same specificity.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>At my level of knowledge of the English language, I think it is suitable for publishing in the journal.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The conclusions are very brief and compared to the volume of exposed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felicia Chețan
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research and Development Station, Romania