

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97121
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANICS ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF CLUSTERBEAN ( <i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p><b>Yes, this manuscript has some practical importance.</b></p> <p><b>Title of the manuscript need some change. It should be "EFFECT OF ORGANIC FARMING ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF CLUSTERBEAN (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.)"</b></p> <p><b>Abstract of the article is perfectly written. Conclusion of the study should be mentioned properly.</b></p> <p>Structure of the manuscript is appropriate. Some review of literature should be added.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct and have some practical importance to the scientific community.</p> <p>Some recent references should be added to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Sentences are correct and grammars used properly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ananya Ghosh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sister Nivedita University, India</b>