

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97109
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different Trichoderma asperellum formulations on management of sheath blight of rice
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is an interesting article, due to the need to generate environmentally friendly production technology alternatives, not only in rice but in all crops.</p> <p>In the manuscript it is suggested to improve the following: In genetic identification by DNA, indicate the DNA extraction method used, specifically indicate the ITS used. Indicate PCR and sequencing conditions. If possible specify the protocol for inoculation with Rhizoctinia, the dose (concentration) of inoculant used. In the Trichoderma protocol, a dilution series is indicated. Indicate final concentration used. In the analysis of variance, it remains to indicate whether there were significant differences between the treatments. This should be indicated in the results tables by applying the mean comparison test. Table 2 and Table 3. I suggest that it be expressly indicated in the text if the data obtained in percentage were transformed for statistical analysis. Then, indicate the transformation formula used. The titles of the tables must be uniform, or they are before the table or they are after the table. Table 1 and table 2. Several incomplete references will be observed. Missing to indicate years and pages among others. Example: Kumar. Das, etc.</p> <p>It is suggested to review the following reference: In Texto: Khan and Sinha (2007).</p> <p>13. SINHA A, KHAN AA. Screening of Trichoderma spp. against Rhizoctonia solani the causal agent of rice sheath blight.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	They suggested that the results could be enriched with a broader discussion and with much more current references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaime Solano
Department, University & Country	Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile