

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97045
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF PLEOMELE (Dracaena reflexa) VARIETIES IN DIFFERENT POTTING MEDIA UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO- CLIMATIC CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. The present manuscript describes the best potting mixture and variety of the most preferred indoor ornamental plant which is informative for the scientific community 2. Yes 3. The corrections and modifications are attached in the manuscript comment bar 4. The sections and subsections are to be modified. If possible, insert the figure of the best performing variety. 5. Yes. But some modifications are required 6. References regarding the potting mixture used by various workers in past should be incorporated in the introduction.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a lot more grammatical errors present in the article which should limited	
Optional/General comments	(1) Correct the grammatical errors (2) Recent references or references with potting mixture in Dracaena should be incorporated in the introduction part	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swosti Suvadarsini Das
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Central Institute for Subtropical Horticulture, India