

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97035
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal Aspects of Weed: A Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	ok The title is too general, the reader will suspect that 'all' potentially medicinal weeds are in the manuscript. Maybe it could be limited by estimating the location or type of weeds used. ok ok ok ok	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	
Optional/General comments	You have to pay attention to how to write the Latin name of the plant properly. Latin names must be written in italics. Please check again! This review manuscript would be nice if it was accompanied by photographs of weeds that have medicinal potential as you describe in the manuscript. For manuscript review, you are emphasized to use references 5 years and over.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irfan Martiansyah
Department, University & Country	Indonesia