

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97003
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF LAND CAPABILITY CLASSES AND LAND USE ON PROPERRTIES AND ERODIBILITY BEHAVIOUR OF SOIL OF GHATAMPUR WATERSHED
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The presented article is purely practical in nature for a specific region of the territory. The article is not of a scientific nature and, in my opinion, is unlikely to be of interest to the scientific community. The title of the article suitable The abstract of the article comprehensive The structure of the manuscript appropriate I am think, that the manuscript is not scientifically correct. Three out of ten literature sources have an old publication year and should be updated.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		
	The article needs to be revised	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yakov G. Verkhivker
Department, University & Country	Odessa National University of Technology, Ukraine