

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96994
Title of the Manuscript:	Weather parameter's impact on population fluctuation of insect pests in sunflower
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The author is directed again to go through the manuscript so that it will present a nice piece of write-up. This is a study on an important subject, and implementing it in honorable way, but the presentation requires much more improvement. Abstract: The abstract is reporting good details, but there are some important parts missing: (1) provide a shore background explaining which gap in knowledge the study intends to fill. Introduction: The introduction is overall too general. It is needed to express (1) the gaps in current knowledge that led to the set up of the performed study and (2) the basis in current knowledge that led to the study design. While it is clear why to study, there is no explanation of why the certain topic was chosen. Material & Methods: needed improvement Result and Discussion: Results described in a good manner. Citations should be given in support of the findings. Discussion: Rewrite with result for better understanding. References: Rewrite according to journal format</p> <p>Like this some corrections recorded in manuscript. For more comments please see the manuscript. I can't put here.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	A few which are reflected in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhumar Lal
Department, University & Country	SKN Agriculture University, India