

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96940
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the impact of co-fertilization of silicon with macronutrient fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake, use efficiency and grain quality of rice in sandy clay loam soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The peer-reviewed article "Assessing the influence of co-fertilization of silicon with macronutrient fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake, effect use and grain quality of rice in sandy clay loam soil" is interesting and relevant. I have no problem reviewing this article because I think the authors have done a very good literature search, resulting in an excellent study that is powerful enough to add to the existing body of knowledge on the subject. More importantly, it will certainly guide further research in this field for effective silicon utilization and improved rice yield and quality. 2. The title of the article is appropriate 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and contains the necessary sections. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The list of used literary sources is sufficient and contains new references. 7. It is necessary to indicate the years during which the research was conducted. 8. There are no references to the given tables and figures in the text of the article. Tables and figures should be placed after their first mention in the text.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is suitable for scientific communications.	
Optional/General comments	In my opinion, the article deserves to be published after a little revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olifir Yuriy
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine