

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_96377</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Biotic and Abiotic Stresses and their management prospects on Vegetative Growth, Fruit yield and quality of Grafted Solanaceous Vegetables for hi-valued horticultural production</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes manuscript is important. It highlights the vegetable grafting methods, its advantages, and impacts on the various abiotic and biotic stresses thereby produce the high – valued crops.</b> 2. <b>Title is suitable</b> 3. <b>Abstract is comprehensive</b> 4. <b>Structure and sub-sections appropriate</b> 5. <b>Yes, scientifically correct</b> 6. <b>References are sufficient and recent</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, suitable for scholarly communications. At many places the 2-3 words are joined, please separate the words at all places in the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	--	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ritesh Kamal Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RTMNU University, India</b>