Case Report

Esophageal Foreign Body - a case of mis-swallowed table spoon in a 48-year-old man

Abstract

Foreign body in the esophagus is a common emergency presentation. A thorough history and systematic examination are followed by relevant investigations in the treatment of a patient with a foreign body in the esophagus. However, there is considerable disagreement about which treatment option is best for such patients. This review aims to develop a comprehensive approach towards patients presenting with foreign body ingestion by creating clinical practice guidelines. These guidelines, which are based on a review of the literature, cover not only the initial assessment of the patient but also the various management options and their benefits, limitations, and suitability for use in different situations.

Keywords: Foreign bodies; Esophagus; Review literature; Endoscopy; Observation

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Introduction

The majority of patients who seek treatment for a foreign body in the esophagus do so after accidentally ingesting a familiar object, and they often have little symptoms and are in stable health [1] [2]. While most foreign objects easily pass through the digestive tract, sharp, pointed, and elongated objects have a higher risk of perforation, vascular invasion, and other problems. The diagnosis of foreign body ingestion is frequently made using the patient's or an observer's account of the incident. Children and adults with cognitive impairment, however, might not be able to provide an accurate history, so a high index of suspicion must be maintained in these populations [1-3]. The large range of signs and clinical manifestations, along with the wide range of potential complications, can make this a difficult condition to evaluate and manage [3][4]. The diagnosis of foreign bodies in the esophagus is typically done based on clinical history, physical examination and supported by other studies such as x-rays, CTs and/or endoscopy – which may play a dual function (both diagnostic and therapeutic), as it is the first-line modality in extracting foreign bodies within the GI tract [4-6].

Case presentation

In this case, the clinical history provided a rather straightforward route to diagnosis. The patient, a 48-year old male, reported to the out-patient clinic with a history of swallowing a table spoon in an attempt to scratch an itchy throat about 10 hours prior to presentation. It is noteworthy that the patient had formed the habit of regularly scratching his throat with cutlery, as highlighted by his brother who came along with him to the hospital. He however had not had any prior occurrence of accidental ingestion of any foreign body. At presentation, he was calm and not in any significant respiratory distress. He only complained of mild retrosternal discomfort. The reason for the 10-hour delay in presentation was that the incident happened the night before, and he did not want to rush to the hospital until the following morning, as there were no pressing symptoms. Examination findings were unremarkable.

He had posteroanterior and lateral chest x-rays done immediately which showed a spoon-shaped radio-opaque object in the retrosternal area extending from the level of the T5 vertebra to the level of the T11 vertebra.



Fig. 1. PA and lateral Chest X-Ray images showing a spoon-shaped radio-opaque object in the retrosternal area of a 48-year old male, following accidental ingestion of a table-spoon

This along with the clear clinical history confirmed our diagnosis of a table spoon in the oesophagus.

Discussion

The American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and the European Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) guidelines strongly recommend using conventional X-rays to detect the presence, location, size, configuration, and the number of ingested FBs if the ingestion of radiopaque objects is suspected or if the type of object is unknown [7]. Conventional X-rays are usually the first line imaging modality for ingested FBs (in the upper gastrointestinal tract), especially in low-resource settings such as ours, because of their relative affordability and accessibility. They are, however, limited by their low sensitivity and high specificity, especially for smaller FBs like fish bones, and are therefore not the recommended investigation to rule out the absence of such FBs in the upper GI tract [8]. CT images, on

the other hand, provide clearer information about the precise location of ingested FBs and are able to detect the presence of complications. Furthermore, studies have shown that the sensitivity and specificity are quite satisfactory, ranging from 85.7% to 100%, and from 66.7% to 100%, respectively [9] [10]. Despite these benefits, their use is less frequent because of the high financial burden to the patient and the relatively high risk of radiation exposure. For foreign bodies that are lodged higher up the upper GI tract – the oropharynx and hypopharynx – laryngoscopy may be employed for diagnosis without the need for further imaging. However, it may become necessary to proceed with conventional X-ray if the FB is not detected and symptoms persist, as highlighted in several studies [11] [12].

Significance of the study

This study seeks to create a comprehensive strategy for treating patients who come with foreign body ingestion by developing clinical practice recommendations. These guidelines are not limited to the initial evaluation of the patient but also the diverse management options and their benefits, drawbacks, and suitability in different contexts.

Conclusion

It is relatively easy to establish the diagnosis of foreign bodies based on detailed medical history. However, visualizing foreign bodies can be difficult due to pain related to injury to the pharyngeal mucosa. It should be recorded that symptoms that do not stop spontaneously may suggest foreign bodies in unusual areas. In similar cases, investigations should include endoscopy, imaging, and sometimes surgery.

Authors contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors Emmanuel OE, and Chukwuka E, designed the study, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors Arinze FC, and Oghenemaro O, managed the summary of the literature search for the study. Authors Denis O, Opeyemi PA, and Oluwatobi OO, managed the literature searches, reviewed and edited the final draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Consent

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

Ethical Approval:

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

References

1. Anderson KL, Dean AJ. Foreign bodies in the gastrointestinal tract and anorectal emergencies. Emerg Med Clin North Am. 2011 May;29(2):369-400, ix. doi: 10.1016/j.emc.2011.01.009.

2. Al Lawati TT, Al Marhoobi RM. Timing of Button Battery Removal From the Upper Gastrointestinal System in Children. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2021 Aug 1;37(8):e461-e463. doi: 10.1097/PEC.0000000000001697.

3. Zhang XR, Li Q. [A case of magnetic pharyngeal foreign body in children]. Lin Chung Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi. 2018 Sep;32(18):1432-1433. Chinese. doi: 10.13201/j.issn.1001-1781.2018.18.017.

4. Malik SA, Qureshi IA, Muhammad R. Diagnostic Accuracy Of Plain X-Ray Lateral Neck In The Diagnosis Of Cervical Esophageal Foreign Bodies Keeping Oesophagoscopy As Gold Standard. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30465371/
5. Brady PG. Esophageal foreign bodies. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 1991 Dec;20(4):691-701. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1787010/
6. Sugawa C, Ono H, Taleb M, et al. Endoscopic management of foreign bodies in the upper gastrointestinal tract: a review. World J Gastrointest Endosc. 2014 Oct 16;6(10):475-81. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4198392/

7. Birk M, Bauerfeind P, Deprez PH, Häfner M, Hartmann D, Hassan C, Hucl T, Lesur G, Aabakken L, Meining A. Removal of foreign bodies in the upper gastrointestinal tract in adults: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Clinical Guideline. Endoscopy. 2016 May;48(5):489-96. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-100456.

8. Yang T-W, Yu Y-C, Lin Y-Y, Hsu S-C, Chu KC-W, Hsu C-W, Bai C-H, Chang C-K, Hsu Y-P. Diagnostic Performance of Conventional X-ray for Detecting Foreign Bodies in the Upper Digestive Tract: A Systematic Review and Diagnostic Meta-Analysis. Diagnostics. 2021; 11(5):790. doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11050790

9. Kumar S, Yu C, Toppi J, et al. The utility of diagnostic imaging in fish bone impaction. Open J. Radiol. 2018, 8, 45–52. doi: 10.4236/ojrad.2018.81006.

10. Park S, Choi D.S, Shin H.S, et al. Fish bone foreign bodies in the pharynx and upper esophagus: Evaluation with 64-slice MDCT. Acta Radiol. 2014, 55, 8–13. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6331292/

UNDER PEER REVIEW

11. Luo CM, Lee YC. Diagnostic Accuracy of Lateral Neck Radiography for Esophageal Foreign Bodies in Adults. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2020 Aug;215(2):465-471. doi: 10.2214/AJR.19.21870. Epub 2020 May 14. Erratum in: AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2020 Dec;215(6):1551. Erratum in: AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2021 Jan;216(1):271.https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32406772/
12. Ambe P. et al. (2012) "Swallowed Foreign Bodies in Adults", Deutsches Ärzteblatt international. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2012.0869.

UNDER PEER REVIEW