

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_97487
Title of the Manuscript:	Esophageal Foreign Body - a case of foreign body in the esophagus of a 48-year-old man
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Although table spoons represent 3.5% of foreign body ingestions A case report that manage to discuss guidelines and present difficulties in diagnosis and management could be interesting The title could be "A case of mis-swallowed table spoon Discussion of diagnosis and managent according to the current guidelines " The abstract should be rewritten in a way that presents the case and not the intentions of the authors about the manuscript The case report should include apart from the clinical picture and diagnostic imaging details about the endoscopy and treatment . In the discussion as the intention of the authors is to discuss current guidelines to present guidelines and any options from medical literature Although case diagnosis is based in chst x-rays it still discusses the usefulness of CT-scan It omits any reference to the endoscopy despite ithe ndications the guidelines Velitchkov NG, et al. Ingested foreign bodies of the gastrointestinal tract: retrospective analysis of 542 cases. World J Surg. 1996 Oct;20(8):1001–5. Watanabe T, et al.Endoscopic removal of a tablespoon lodged within the duodenum. World J Gastroenterol. 2015 Apr;21(16):5096–8.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is rather good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsiouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece