

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_97170
Title of the Manuscript:	Chondroma of the thoracic vertebrae base with abdominothoracic aorta involvement, a case report and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The case report is interesting and the pathology is discussed extensively. It would be improved if a table of the given results in the literature can be included. Like Gross total resection versus partial resection and progression free survival, as well as overall survival in other studies. The manuscript is educational, therefore it has some kind of importance for the scientific community. Especially the discussion is well done. 2. The title is ok. 3. The abstract is comprehensive but please, the name of the artery is Adamkiewicz. Correct this in all positions in the text were it is written differently. Edit the English with the help of a native English speaking neurosurgeon or at least a medical professional. 4. OK. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are ok.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs editing.	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Athanasios Petridis
Department, University & Country	St. Luke Hospital, Greece, Heinrich Heine University, Medical School, Germany