

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97643
Title of the Manuscript:	Advancements in Modelling Protocols for Assessing Climate Change Impacts on Water Resources: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The article reviews the state of art of modeling climate change and hydrological model, based on that, the author recommended basically a collaborative work to enhance better results.</p> <p>A comparative analysis between modeling climate change and hydrological modeling. A compressive review</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The number of references cited are ok. However, I do recommend to review recent articles; the most recent reference is from 2012.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	Please inset one space between lines 111 and 112 I recommend a justify text format from line 113 to 121 I recommend to use top-down in similitude to bottom-up line 180	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pinto-Espinoza Joaquín
Department, University & Country	TecNM Instituto Tecnológico de Orizaba, Mexico