

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change.</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_97567   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of fertility levels and boron on growth and yield of cauliflower  |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Very important for scientific community<br>Good title<br>The abstract of the article is comprehensive<br>Presence of subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate<br>This manuscript must be scientifically performed<br>What are the measured variables? Which what software did-you used to analysis the result? Statistical analysis is part of material and methodology it's must be described is this part!<br>Look like interesting result, but the Statistical analysis must be described and discussion is not strong! It must be documented |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   |   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Aba-Toum nou Lucie                             |
| Department, University & Country | University of Bangui, Central African Republic |