

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97441
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Solid Waste Composition and Tourist flow at Doodhpathri Budgam of Kashmir Valley.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>I suggest the writer to conduct test of significance like one-way analysis of variance that is its non-parametric equivalent (Kruskal-Wallis) test using table 1 and table 2 to test if the difference across the 3 months is statistically significant or not and this would make the study to be more robust.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript present a very interesting study on Assessment of Solid Waste Composition and Tourist flow at Doodhpathri Budgam of Kashmir Valley. This was a well-conducted study and the results obtained are valuable for the understanding of solid waste composition and tourist flow.</p> <p>The introductory session provides a comprehensive basis for the subject studied.</p> <p>It is a methodological and rigorous study.</p> <p>The content of the discussion section is well written.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arowona Nurudeen
Department, University & Country	Kaduna Polytechnic, Nigeria