

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_97435 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Response of molybdenum and foliar application of zinc on growth and yield of cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The article is written on an important topic for the region. It highlights the issue of the effectiveness of molybdenum and zinc application on cowpea plants, which is an important food product, in particular, for the poor population.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>I consider the manuscript to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are recent</p> <p>There are some technical errors in the manuscript, such as missing spaces between words.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The level of English is sufficient for scholarly communications.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>In general, the article makes a positive impression. Since the problem of high and stable harvests in the world remains relevant, the possibility of improving the productivity of cowpea by adding molybdenum and zinc needs deep research.</p> <p>In the conclusions, the authors indicate the optimal treatment combination of molybdenum and zinc, as well as the net return and benefit cost ratio. However, the manuscript does not indicate the net return and benefit cost ratio for any variant of plant treatment either in the "RESULT" section or the "DISCUSSION" section. Therefore, it is not possible to write about economic indicators in the conclusions.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Khodanitska Olena |
| Department, University & Country | Vinnitsia Mykhailo Kotsiubynskyi State Pedagogical University, Ukraine |