

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97304
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of different weed management practices in Mustard for enhancement of productivity
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript highlights the weed management practices in mustard crop. Although, authors have taken very less treatment and do not know how the authors maintain the 12 df for error. Somehow, the results are good and can be published. 2. Yes the title is suitable. 3. Yes 4. Yes. 5. Yes. 6. Yes, but need to mentioned some new references indicated in the attached manuscript with comments. 7. The additional comments indicated on the manuscript. Kindly find the attached file	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need extensive English editing and especially the spell check.	
Optional/General comments	Indicated in the attached file of amnsucript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prashant Sharma
Department, University & Country	Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India