

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97243
Title of the Manuscript:	Raising Citizen's Consciousness of Climate Change Challenges and Adaptation in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The paper is relevant for scientific community with additional perspective of the media and science communication.</p> <p>The title is okay. The abstract is okay with little modification.</p> <p>The subsections are okay with little adjustment.</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References considerably okay. But many of them are not in APA 6<sup>th</sup> Edition format.</p> <p>The article requires serious editing. Too many incomplete sentences, Grammatical errors, lack of coherence and too many unnecessary compound sentences leading to muddled information.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Major Revision in terms of standard expression. Requires serious editing. Especially in the literature review discussion and conclusion (too many wrong choice of words). Some corrections have been provided.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Fatai Olawale
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria