

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_97211 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Species Composition and Diversity of Tree Species in Nanta Forest Region in Kota district, Rajasthan |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The MS is very interesting due to the studied area and the pressure of human activities. Results are original.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>I consider that MS is very good. However, the Simpson index usually indicates a community with strong dominance of some species. This is characteristic of areas with some type of impact (not necessarily human, it can be, for example, climatic) that is tolerated by the dominant ones and therefore exceeds others in abundance. In the line of work of the researchers I suggest calculating the Shannon index (equity) that will give us more information about the impact in these areas.</p> <p>Some of the references are outdated for the axis of the work, which is ecological. I suggest expanding with current reference.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes. There are only a few mistakes.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The work is very interesting and provides a simple and accurate look at a complex problem. Congratulations to the authors</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Name: | Ana Lucia Gonzalez Achem |
| Department, University & Country | Argentina |