

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97172
Title of the Manuscript:	Microclimate: Diurnal temperature variation inside crop canopy of Pearl millet
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- I don't think that scientific community or farmers could benefit from this study. Authors mentioned nothing about the importance of this study. what is the advantageous of this study? 2- The title is not suitable. the study deals with sowing dates and cultivars, not just crop and varied temperatures in the canopy. 3- Abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5- No sufficient definition of the replication was found. 6- There is no clear statistical analysis of the results, only graphic figures. 7- More extensive discussions are needed, also what is about the cultivars? How do you explain different temperature behaviour among the cultivars? In general, more explanations are required.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	1- Scientific name of the crop should be written. 2- Climatic conditions of experimental site should be cited. 3- Some concepts should be defined. 4- Other comments are in the main file.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Ahmad Mhanna
Department, University & Country	Syria