

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97027
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different irrigation scheduling and nutrient management practices on yield and economics of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, in a general sense, they do not talk about soils, meteorological data 2. Yes 3. NO!! The abstract is confused; they could resume these data in a table 4. Yes, they are appropriate 5. Yes, but must be improved, it is not enough clear 6. They only include 16 references, no one with doi, I do not have suggestions, I am not an specialist in that field	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not English language speaker	
Optional/General comments	Review, abstract, conclusions, references, if possible try to improve them and you will get more citations	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elia Mercedes Alonso Guzmán
Department, University & Country	Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Mexico