

Original Research Article

Effect of Nutrient Management and Crop Residue Incorporation on Phosphorus Uptake of Maize (*Zeamays L*) at different growth stages

ABSTRACT

The uptake of phosphorus was found to increase with each successive increase in nitrogen level from 200 to 300 kg ha⁻¹ and up to 60 kg ha⁻¹ with increase in age of the crop with higher uptake at 300 kg N ha⁻¹ and 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Crop sown in N₃P₃ (300 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake by grain. Higher nutrient uptake was recorded in F₂ (125% of F₁) and F₄ (F₂+Kharif crop residue incorporation), while the sub plot F₁ (Recommended dose of N and P₂O₅) and F₃ (F₁+Kharif crop residue incorporation) recorded lower nutrient uptake during both the years.

Keywords: Phosphorus uptake, Crop residues, Crop yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Crop residues are the parts of crops left over after the usable portions have been removed. Crop residues incorporated into the soil can serve as a source of nutrient recycling for plant growth and maintenance of soil fertility (Cooper and Band, 2002). The estimated annual production of crop residues in India is 501 million tonnes, containing 8.02 million tonnes of NPK (MNRE, 2009). Jain (1993) reported that in India, large quantities of crop residues are made available every year and about one third of the residues produced are available for direct recycling on the land and if used can add 2.19 million tonnes of NPK annually.

To meet the growing demand, enhancement of maize yield in coming years across all the growing locations in a sustainable way in India is the big challenge. Maize is a heavy feeder of nutrients, especially nitrogen and phosphorus, the deficiency of which limits the growth, yield and quality of the crop. In order to meet such challenges, overdependence on chemical fertilizers alone would lead to gradual decline in organic matter content and native fertility status of the soil, which in turn reflects on the future productivity. In addition, due to recent escalation in prices of nitrogenous and phosphatic fertilizers, maize growers are facing a crisis in purchase of the above fertilizers. On the other hand, organic manures need to be applied in bulk to meet the heavy nutrient requirement of hybrid maize for improving the fertility status of the soil on a sustained manner, which is also not possible due to the scarcity of organic manures. Hence, a strategy of integrated use of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers in combination with any amount of cheaper organic source like previous crop residue, which is abundantly available locally should be tried to satisfy the crop requirement to produce higher yield, without impairing soil health. The application of organic residue (e.g., straw) to soils represents a valuable recycling strategy (Cayuela *et al.*, 2009), which reduces in part our dependence on mineral fertilizers.

Maize crop residues are usually burnt in the field or widely used as animal feed or used as fuel for cooking against the ample benefits obtained through their incorporation, which would actually

Comment [u1]: Abstracts are generally between 200-300 words. The abstract must be able to provide an overview regarding the formulation of the problem, methods and conclusions

Comment [u2]: where is the source of the literature?

Comment [u3]: where is the source of the literature?

improve the fertility status of the soil for producing higher crop yield. Being the originator of crop residues, land has the first right to seek return of the nutrients removed by the crop from it for maintaining its sustainability. It is also closest to the site of residue production, thus saving on handling and transport costs. The rate of decomposition of maize crop residue is also not a problem in the soils with luxuriant microbial population including termites. Hence, it is essential to estimate the quantity of nutrient uptake by the maize crop to get the benefits of residue incorporation.

Comment [u4]: where is the source of the literature?

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at College Farm of Agricultural College, Mahanandi campus of Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, situated at 15.51°N latitude, 78.61°E longitude and at an altitude of 233.5 m above the mean sea level, in the scarce rainfall zone of Andhra Pradesh. A composite soil sample was collected at random from 0-30 cm soil depth and analyzed for physico-chemical properties prior to start of the experiments. The soil was sandy loam in texture, neutral in reaction, low in organic carbon and available nitrogen, high in available phosphorus and potassium. The experiment was conducted in the same plots of *kharif* season and was laid out in a split-plot design with three replications.

Comment [u5]: Display the results of soil analysis in tabular or narrative form

2.1 Treatments

There were nine main plots consisting of three nitrogen levels and three phosphorus levels of *kharif* season and four sub plots comprising of fertilizer and crop residue management practices.

2.1.1 Main plot treatments

Nine main plots (residual nutrients) consisting of combination of three nitrogen levels 200, 250 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₁, N₂ and N₃ respectively) and three phosphorus levels 40, 60 and 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (P₁, P₂ and P₃ respectively) of *kharif* season.

2.1.2 Sub plot treatments

Four sub plots (nutrient doses ± crop residues) comprising of fertilizer and crop residue management practices. F₁: Recommended dose of N and P₂O₅ (250 kg N and 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), F₂: 125% of F₁, F₃: F₁ + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation and F₄: F₂ + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation. A common dose of 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ was applied to all the plots.

The crop was sown at a spacing of 75 cm × 15 cm. The test cultivar was P-3396 a single cross hybrid with the yield potential ranging from 7.5 to 8.0 t ha⁻¹. After harvest of the economic produce of *kharif* maize the stover was allowed to dry in the field itself and plot wise weight of the crop residue was recorded.

Nitrogen was applied at graded levels as per the treatments in four splits *i.e.*, one fourth at basal, one fourth at knee height stage, one fourth at flag leaf emergence and the remaining one fourth at tasseling stage.

Five plants from the destructive sampling area were cut to the base at 30 days interval and at harvest, sun dried and then oven dried at 60°C till a constant weight was obtained and expressed the dry matter in kg ha⁻¹.

Oven dried plant samples collected for dry matter estimation were finely powdered and used for

chemical analysis. The nutrient uptake was calculated by multiplying the nutrient content with respect to dry matter and expressed as kg ha^{-1} . Samples collected from the crop residues from the plots were dried in shade and hot air oven to a constant weight and then ground into fine powder and used for estimation of nitrogen content, employing the standard procedures as outlined by Jackson (1973) and the nutrient content of maize crop residue was expressed in percent.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phosphorus uptake differed significantly due to residual nutrient effects (main plots) and nutrient doses \pm crop residues (sub plots) and their interaction at different stages during both the years.

3.1 Phosphorus uptake at 30 days after sowing (DAS)

Phosphorus uptake differed significantly due to residual nutrient effects (main plots) and nutrient doses \pm crop residues (sub plots) but their interaction was not significant during both the years (Table 1).

Crop sown in N_3P_3 (300 kg N + 80 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake which was however on par with N_2P_3 (250 kg N + 80 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$), N_1P_3 (200 kg N + 80 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$) and N_1P_2 (200 kg N + 60 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$) during the first year of study. Similarly during the second year N_3P_3 (300 kg N + 80 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake, however it was on par with the remaining treatments except with the crop sown in N_1P_1 (200 kg N + 40 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$), N_2P_1 (250 kg N + 40 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$) and N_3P_2 (300 kg N + 60 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$). Significantly lower phosphorus uptake was recorded in the crop sown in N_3P_2 (300 kg N + 60 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{ha}^{-1}$) during both the years of study.

Phosphorus uptake in F_2 (125% of F_1) sub plot recorded significantly higher values which were however on par with F_4 (F_2 + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) during the first year and the lowest phosphorus uptake was recorded in F_3 (F_1 + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation). In the second year, significantly higher phosphorus uptake was recorded in the sub plot F_4 (F_2 + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) which was on par with F_2 (125% of F_1) and F_3 (F_1 + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation). The lowest phosphorus uptake was recorded in F_1 (Recommended dose of N and P_2O_5).

Table 1. Uptake of phosphorus (kg ha^{-1}) by *Rabi* maize at 30 DAS influenced by crop residue and nutrient management practices

	<i>Rabi</i> , 2014					<i>Rabi</i> , 2015				
	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4	Mean	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4	Mean
N_1P_1	3.7	3.8	3.1	3.2	3.5	4.2	4.4	3.0	4.7	4.1
N_1P_2	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.9	3.8	5.2	4.4	5.1	4.6
N_1P_3	3.9	4.0	3.4	4.0	3.8	4.7	5.6	4.0	4.8	4.8
N_2P_1	3.3	3.8	3.1	3.7	3.5	3.8	4.5	3.6	3.7	3.9
N_2P_2	3.5	4.0	3.4	4.0	3.7	4.5	5.6	4.9	4.7	4.9
N_2P_3	3.7	4.2	3.4	4.1	3.9	4.4	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.5

Comment [u6]: check again how to present the table

N ₃ P ₁	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	3.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.7
N ₃ P ₂	3.5	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	4.9	3.9	3.9
N ₃ P ₃	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.9	6.3	5.1
Mean	3.7	4.0	3.4	3.8		4.2	4.7	4.3	4.8	

	<i>Rabi, 2014</i>		<i>Rabi, 2015</i>	
	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)
NP	0.15	0.4	0.21	0.6
F	0.09	0.2	0.15	0.4
NPatF	0.26	NS	0.44	NS
FatNP	0.30	NS	0.42	NS

3.2 Phosphorus uptake at 60 DAS

Phosphorus uptake did not differ significantly due to residual nutrient effects (main plots), nutrient doses ± crop residues (subplots) and their interaction during both years except due to residual nutrient effects (main plots) in the first year (Table 2).

Crop sown in N₃P₃ (300 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake which was however on par with N₃P₂ (300 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), N₂P₃ (250 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and N₂P₂ (250 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) during the first year of study. Similarly during the second year N₃P₃ (300 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) recorded higher phosphorus uptake however it was on par with the remaining treatments. Lower phosphorus uptake was recorded in the crop sown in N₁P₃ (200 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and N₂P₁ (250 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) in the first and second years respectively.

Phosphorus uptake in F₂ (125% of F₁) sub plot recorded higher values of phosphorus uptake which were however on par with the remaining sub plot means during both the years of study.

Table 2. Uptake of phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) by *rab* maize at 60 DAS as influenced by crop residue and nutrient management practices

	<i>Rabi, 2014</i>					<i>Rabi, 2015</i>				
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean
N ₁ P ₁	24.4	25.5	24.5	26.7	25.3	21.2	21.6	18.1	18.5	19.9
N ₁ P ₂	23.9	25.8	23.5	24.7	24.5	21.1	22.1	20.6	22.8	21.7
N ₁ P ₃	22.2	21.7	22.0	23.1	22.2	19.5	24.3	23.0	24.2	22.7
N ₂ P ₁	21.2	24.9	20.4	23.4	22.5	21.5	22.6	19.6	21.1	21.2
N ₂ P ₂	28.7	30.5	27.7	29.7	29.1	26.1	26.4	26.1	26.6	26.3
N ₂ P ₃	29.5	32.1	31.0	30.7	30.8	29.0	29.7	28.6	30.8	29.5

N ₃ P ₁	25.4	26.6	25.3	26.4	25.9	29.9	31.7	29.9	31.8	30.8
N ₃ P ₂	27.1	28.9	26.7	28.7	27.8	29.1	31.7	28.7	30.0	29.9
N ₃ P ₃	32.0	32.9	31.9	32.2	32.2	31.8	32.4	30.1	33.0	31.8
Mean	26.0	27.6	25.9	27.3		25.5	26.9	25.0	26.5	

	<i>Rabi, 2014</i>		<i>Rabi, 2015</i>	
	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)
NP	1.68	5.0	4.09	NS
F	1.62	NS	1.81	NS
NPatF	4.54	NS	6.24	NS
FatNP	3.36	NS	8.17	NS

3.3 Phosphorus uptake at 90 DAS

Phosphorus uptake differed significantly due to residual nutrient effects (main plots), nutrient doses ± crop residues (sub plots) and their interaction during first year and due to residual nutrient effects (main plots) alone in the second year (Table 3).

Crop sown in N₂P₃ (250 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake which was however on par with N₃P₃ (300 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), N₃P₁ (300 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and N₂P₂ (250 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) during the first year of study. Similarly during the second year N₂P₃ (250 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake however it was on par with N₃P₁ (300 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), N₂P₂ (250 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), N₂P₁ (250 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), N₁P₃ (200 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and N₃P₃ (300 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹). Significantly lower phosphorus uptake was recorded in the crop sown in N₁P₁ (200 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) during both the years.

Phosphorus uptake in F₂ (125% of F₁) sub plot recorded higher values which were on par with F₄ (F₂ + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) and significantly lower phosphorus uptake was recorded in F₁ (Recommended dose of N and P₂O₅) during the first year. Similar trend was observed in during the second year but there was no significant difference among the subplot treatments.

Significant interaction was observed during the first year with regard to phosphorus uptake at 90 DAS. At the same level of main plot means F₂ (125% of F₁) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake in N₁P₂ (200 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), N₂P₃ (250 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) over F₁ (Recommended dose of N and P₂O₅) and N₃P₁ (300 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) over F₃, while F₄ (F₂ + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) recorded significantly superior phosphorus uptake at N₂P₁ (250 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) over F₃. At the same level of sub plot means the main plot treatments of N₃P₁ (300 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) at F₁ (Recommended dose of N and P₂O₅) and N₂P₃ (250 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) at F₂ (125% of F₁), F₃ (F₁ + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) and F₄ (F₂ + *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) treatments recorded

significantly higher phosphorus uptake. The lowest phosphorus uptake was recorded with N_1P_1 (200 kg N + 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) at all subplot levels.

Table 3. Uptake of phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) by rabi maize at 90 DAS influenced by crop residue and nutrient management practices

	Rabi, 2014					Rabi, 2015				
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean
N_1P_1	30.4	39.6	31.7	38.0	34.9	33.3	41.2	35.3	37.4	36.8
N_1P_2	33.9	43.6	38.8	40.0	39.0	37.4	45.1	43.4	43.8	42.4
N_1P_3	43.5	52.2	48.0	51.0	48.7	45.7	52.2	50.5	51.6	50.0
N_2P_1	47.5	50.9	43.8	55.3	49.4	51.9	52.1	50.6	52.6	51.8
N_2P_2	53.2	58.5	52.8	54.4	54.7	51.2	55.4	52.3	52.2	52.8
N_2P_3	52.0	64.3	53.2	58.0	56.9	53.1	55.5	53.3	53.8	53.9
N_3P_1	54.2	60.8	46.7	54.2	54.0	51.8	52.1	48.3	51.5	50.9
N_3P_2	47.0	53.1	48.3	49.8	49.6	47.8	50.1	48.6	48.3	48.7
N_3P_3	50.9	53.6	52.6	53.5	52.6	49.6	51.1	49.2	51.6	50.4
Mean	45.8	53.0	46.2	50.5		46.9	50.5	48.0	49.2	

	Rabi, 2014		Rabi, 2015	
	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)
NP	1.73	5.2	1.57	4.7
F	1.03	2.9	1.41	NS
NPatF	3.19	9.3	3.98	NS
FatNP	3.45	9.0	3.14	NS

3.4 Phosphorus uptake by stover

Phosphorus uptake differed significantly due to residual nutrient effects (main plots) during both the years, while due to nutrient doses ± crop residues (sub plots) in the first year alone. The interaction was not significant during both the years (Table 4).

Crop sown in N_3P_2 (300 kg N + 60 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake in the first year which was however on par with N_3P_3 (300 kg N + 80 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) and N_3P_1 (300 kg N + 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹). In the second year of study N_3P_3 (300 kg N + 80 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) recorded higher phosphorus uptake which was on par with N_3P_2 (300 kg N + 60 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) and N_3P_1 (300 kg N + 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹). Significantly lower phosphorus uptake was recorded in N_1P_2 (200 kg N + 60 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) during both the years, while it was on par with N_1P_1 (200 kg N + 40 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹), N_1P_3 (200 kg N + 80

kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) and N₂P₃(250 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) in the first year and with all the main plot treatments except with N₃P₂(300 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) and N₃P₃(300kgN+80 kgP₂O₅ha⁻¹) in the second year.

Significantly higher phosphorus uptake recorded in sub plot F₂(125% of F₁), which were however on par with F₃(F₁+ *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) and F₄(F₂+ *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) in the first year. During the second year F₄(F₂+ *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) sub plot recorded higher phosphorus uptake but there was no significant difference with other sub plots.

Table 4. Phosphorus uptake by stover (kg ha⁻¹) in *Rabi* maize as influenced by crop residue and nutrient management practices

	<i>Rabi</i> , 2014					<i>Rabi</i> , 2015				
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean
N ₁ P ₁	23.9	26.1	24.8	23.1	24.5	23.4	24.3	23.4	24.0	23.8
N ₁ P ₂	22.4	23.7	22.8	22.1	22.8	22.6	23.2	23.2	23.5	23.1
N ₁ P ₃	22.8	24.2	24.9	23.1	23.8	22.8	23.4	23.4	23.6	23.3
N ₂ P ₁	26.3	29.0	28.1	29.2	28.2	23.7	24.1	24.7	25.0	24.4
N ₂ P ₂	26.5	26.6	26.2	26.4	26.4	24.7	25.9	25.6	25.9	25.5
N ₂ P ₃	25.2	25.3	24.1	25.3	25.0	23.9	24.2	24.8	25.0	24.5
N ₃ P ₁	30.5	32.1	29.2	29.9	30.4	25.7	25.8	25.9	26.4	26.0
N ₃ P ₂	28.5	34.9	33.1	30.9	31.9	26.9	28.1	28.1	27.9	27.8
N ₃ P ₃	28.4	30.6	28.5	32.5	30.0	28.1	29.3	29.2	29.2	29.0
Mean	26.1	28.1	26.9	26.9		24.6	25.4	25.4	25.6	

	<i>Rabi</i> , 2014		<i>Rabi</i> , 2015	
	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)
NP	0.96	2.9	1.10	3.3
F	0.46	1.3	0.45	NS
NPatF	1.54	NS	1.60	NS
FatNP	1.93	NS	2.20	NS

3.5 Phosphorus uptake by grain

Phosphorus uptake did differ significantly due to residual nutrient effects (main plots) during both the years, while due to nutrient doses ± crop residues (sub plots) in the first year alone. The interaction was not significant during both the years (Table 5).

Crop sown in N₃P₃(300 kg N + 80 kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake during both the years, which was however on par with N₃P₂(300 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) and N₃P₁(300 kg

N + 40 kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) during the first year and with N₃P₂(300kgN+60kgP₂O₅ha⁻¹), N₃P₁(300kgN+40kgP₂O₅ha⁻¹), N₂P₃(250kgN+80kgP₂O₅ha⁻¹) and N₂P₂(250kgN+60 kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) in the second year of study. Significantly lower phosphorus uptake was recorded in the crop sown in N₁P₂(200 kg N + 60 kg P₂O₅ha⁻¹) during both the years.

Phosphorus uptake in the sub plot F₂(125% of F₁) recorded significantly higher values, which was however on par with F₄(F₂+ *Kharif* crop residue incorporation) and F₁(Recommended dose of N and P₂O₅) sub plots in the first year. Similar trend was observed in during the second year but there was no significant difference between the sub plot treatments.

Table 5. Phosphorus uptake by grain (kg ha⁻¹) in Rabi maize as influenced by crop residue and nutrient management practices

	<i>Rabi, 2014</i>					<i>Rabi, 2015</i>				
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean
N ₁ P ₁	35.3	36.0	36.0	36.2	35.9	37.4	37.8	37.1	38.0	37.6
N ₁ P ₂	33.9	33.9	33.2	35.0	34.0	34.2	36.4	32.6	38.3	35.4
N ₁ P ₃	37.7	40.5	37.5	40.7	39.1	38.3	42.0	40.7	43.4	41.1
N ₂ P ₁	40.1	45.8	38.2	40.2	41.1	38.5	47.0	43.1	44.6	45.8
N ₂ P ₂	50.0	46.5	42.9	47.8	46.8	50.1	51.9	50.3	52.1	51.1
N ₂ P ₃	49.8	50.4	43.9	47.2	47.8	52.9	53.4	52.7	53.9	53.2
N ₃ P ₁	57.3	54.0	50.8	49.9	53.0	54.8	55.3	55.4	56.5	55.5
N ₃ P ₂	50.9	59.7	53.7	54.6	54.7	56.2	58.6	52.3	53.6	55.2
N ₃ P ₃	53.0	55.6	55.2	61.4	56.3	56.3	57.1	57.0	57.9	57.1
Mean	45.3	46.9	43.5	45.9		46.5	49.9	46.8	48.7	

	<i>Rabi, 2014</i>		<i>Rabi, 2015</i>	
	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)
NP	1.54	4.6	2.86	8.6
F	1.20	3.3	1.48	NS
NPatF	3.48	NS	4.79	NS
FatNP	3.08	NS	5.72	NS

Table 6. Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) of Rabi maize as influenced by crop residue and nutrient management practices

	<i>Rabi, 2014</i>					<i>Rabi, 2015</i>				
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₄	Mean

N₁P₁	6864	6999	6990	7024	6969	7055	7116	6998	7152	7080
N₁P₂	6954	6969	6813	7185	6980	6421	6838	6129	7197	6646
N₁P₃	6413	6890	6375	6919	6649	5881	6456	6252	6670	6315
N₂P₁	6746	7704	6431	6767	6912	5819	7421	6521	6749	6628
N₂P₂	7990	7436	6862	7649	7484	7130	7384	7148	7409	7268
N₂P₃	7738	7828	6815	7339	7430	7366	7433	7334	7493	7406
N₃P₁	7864	7406	6972	6852	7273	7183	7249	7261	7408	7275
N₃P₂	6765	7931	7129	7252	7269	7363	7677	6858	7024	7230
N₃P₃	6931	7259	7213	8026	7357	7359	7458	7441	7561	7455
Mean	7140	7380	6844	7224		6842	7226	6882	7185	

	<i>Rabi, 2014</i>		<i>Rabi, 2015</i>	
	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)	SEm±	CD(P= 0.05)
NP	144.4	433	321.5	964
F	110.1	310	135.3	381
NPatF	320.4	NS	476.5	NS
FatNP	288.9	NS	643.1	NS

The highest grain yield of hybrid maize was produced with the application of 125% recommended dose of N and P₂O₅ alone, however comparable with crop residue incorporation, application of 100% recommended dose of N and P₂O₅ alone, while it was found to be the lowest with the application of 100% recommended dose of N and P₂O₅ along with crop residue incorporation. The higher level of grain yield was due to the favourable influence of consistent and adequate availability of nutrients especially nitrogen throughout the crop growth period, which favoured the production of more photosynthate coupled with better partitioning to the sink, under higher level of nutrients. The results are in conformity with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2000) and Ramu (2005).

4. CONCLUSION:

Residues of corn did not affect the phosphorus uptake and the nutrients released from corn residue is not a major contributor for subsequent corn nutrient uptake in sandy loam soils as is evidenced with highest nutrient uptake in the plots received with 125 % recommended dose either with or without residue incorporation. Similar results were obtained by Kevin *et al.*, (2010) in medium textured silty loam soils.

Comment [u7]: The conclusion section generally does not display literature sources and only conveys the results of the discussion in accordance with the problem formulation

APPENDIX

Table 7. Quantity of maize residues incorporated (kg ha^{-1})

Treatments	Quantity (kg ha^{-1})	
	<i>Kharif, 2014</i>	<i>Kharif, 2015</i>
N_1P_1	8364	9325
N_1P_2	8113	12949
N_1P_3	7516	10580
N_2P_1	8507	10397
N_2P_2	9252	13309
N_2P_3	9123	12141
N_3P_1	8601	11277
N_3P_2	10797	13461
N_3P_3	8432	12812

Table 8. Nutrient content (%) in residues of maize (on dry weight basis)

Treatments	Nutrient content (%)					
	<i>Kharif, 2014</i>			<i>Kharif, 2015</i>		
	N	P_2O_5	K_2O	N	P_2O_5	K_2O
N_1P_1	0.86	0.20	1.89	0.84	0.21	1.64
N_1P_2	0.96	0.30	1.94	0.74	0.27	1.46
N_1P_3	1.02	0.33	2.20	0.70	0.26	1.76
N_2P_1	0.99	0.29	2.25	0.91	0.27	2.45
N_2P_2	0.94	0.32	1.98	0.70	0.24	1.90
N_2P_3	0.88	0.26	1.84	0.77	0.34	1.57
N_3P_1	1.06	0.29	2.39	0.91	0.41	2.39
N_3P_2	0.91	0.35	2.53	0.86	0.31	2.04
N_3P_3	1.05	0.35	2.01	0.87	0.28	1.62

References:

- Cayuela, M. L., Sinicco, T and Mondini, C. Mineralization dynamics and biochemical properties during initial decomposition of plant and animal residues in soil. *Applied Soil Ecology*. 2009; 41: 118-127.
- Cooperband, L. Building soil organic matter with organic amendments. Centre for Integrated Agricultural Systems (CIAS), College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison. 2002; 1-13.
- Jackson, M.L. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 1973; 38-82.
- Jain, M.C. Bioconversion of organic wastes for fuel and manure. *Fertilizer News*. 1993; 35(4): 55-55.
- Kevin, A.S., Kenneth, C. and Larry, G.B. Soybean residue management and tillage effects on corn yields and response to applied nitrogen. *Agronomy Journal*. 2010; 102(4): 1186-1193.
- Misra, B.N., Singh, B and Rajput, A.L. Yield, quality and economics as influenced by winter maize (*Zea mays*) based inter cropping system in eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2001; 46(3): 425-431.
- MNRE. Ministry of New and Renewable Energy. Government of India, New Delhi. www.mnre.gov.in/biomass_resources. 2009.
- Ramu, Y.R. Agrotechniques for enhancing productivity and quality of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Ph.D Thesis*. Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh. 2005.
- Singh, D.P., Rana, N. and Singh, R.P. Dry matter production and nitrogen uptake in winter maize (*Zea mays*) based intercropping system under different levels of nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2000; 45(4): 676-680.

Comment [u8]: The references used are minimal, there should be at least 25 literature. Writing references also has not used the Medley or Zetero applications

Comment [u9]: The references used are generally very old, it should be at least the last 10 years