

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96746</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of prevailing weather parameters on population dynamics of leafhopper <i>Empoasca kerri</i> and thrips <i>Scirtothrips dorsalis</i> on groundnut in semi arid ecosystem of Rajasthan</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>This study is important for the scientific community, the title is appropriate. However, it is necessary to improve the sections of the manuscript. The abstract needs to indicate clearly the most relevant results and the main contributions to scientific knowledge.</p> <p>The introduction requires the relevant antecedents of topic to support the hypotheses and/or the objectives, which must be clearly stated. That is the why and for what purpose of the investigation must be specified, as well as the respective published information scientific.</p> <p>In materials and methods, it is suggested to provide sufficient information, so that any researcher can repeat the study. In addition, in the analysis of results, include some statistical test to find out if there are differences in the insect population in certain periods through time.</p> <p>The conclusions must briefly and precisely indicate the specific contributions to knowledge supported by the demonstrable and verifiable results of the study. The references are sufficient, although they could include others to interpret the results and their possible explanation.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of the text is good, if they have, someone qualified to review manuscripts in technical scientific English it would be very good.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Virginia Meléndez Ramírez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous University of Yucatan, México</b>