

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96746
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of prevailing weather parameters on population dynamics of leafhopper <i>Empoasca kerri</i> and thrips <i>Scirtothrips dorsalis</i> on groundnut in semi arid ecosystem of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1-The aim of this manuscript is to estimate the Influence of prevailing weather parameters on population dynamics of leafhopper, <i>Empoasca kerri</i> and thrips, <i>Scirtothrips dorsalis</i> on groundnut in semi arid ecosystem of Rajasthan. The topic is interesting and important. This manuscript contributes to the field of TOPIC described. The stated purpose achieved throughout the paper. The title appropriately reflects the contents of the paper. The purpose of the paper is clearly stated in the introduction, but need to add more recent references. The abstract is appropriately covering the contents of the article. The material and methods are clear. The results were discussed clearly linked with references that promote Results. 2- yes 3- yes 4- yes 5- yes 6- The author must add more recent references in Introduction.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	In References section: Correct these references Yadav et al. (2012 found in text only – not found in references section Nigude et al. (2018 a in text while Nigude et al. (2018 in references section Gocher et al. (2019 a) in text while Gocher, S. and Ahmad, S. (2019) in references section In ABSTRACT Whereas the leafhopper and thrips population had significant positive correlation with ($r= 0.59$ and $r= 0.61$), respectively at minimum temperature in Kharif 2021.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shadia El-Sayed Abd El-Aziz
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt