

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96710
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental Loss Assessment using Green GDP
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? The article offers an analysis of the Green GDP's reliability in comparison to the standard GDP, which is utilized by nations all over the world for economic planning and other types of strategic forecasting. For the scientific community, it is unquestionably significant. 2. Is the title of the manuscript suitable: Yes 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive : Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate: Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct: Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent: Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article's language and English quality are appropriate for scholarly communications. I have a few editorial recommendations that the author may want to take into account. These are not meant to change the message that the authors of the article are attempting to convey.	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thokozani Simelane
Department, University & Country	Human Sciences Research Council, South Africa