

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96710
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental Loss Assessment using Green GDP
Type of the Article	Data Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> This manuscript is use full for Scientific community as well as theoretical background in the macro level. Here author used a good literature review and proper methodology and clarify the result and discussion. This article is based on secondary data. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> <b>This title is fine</b>  <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Yes <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Yes  <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Yes  <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in</b> <b>Yes. But author could go for more literature.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	NO	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NO	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shatrajit Goswami
Department, University & Country	Institute of Advance Education and Research, India