

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96710
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental Loss Assessment using Green GDP
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes Green GDP study is an important concept for achieving sustainable development because the success of a country's economy is not only seen from brown GDP but also from green GDP.</p> <p>No The title does not represent the contents of the manuscript as a whole because the variables used do not only focus on environmental damage, but also consider non-environmental variables, such as the economic openness index. The author should determine the title represents all research variables to be analyzed</p> <p>Yes No No No</p> <p>The reference list used is still old publication, i recommend using the last 10 years publication, which examines the relationship between the variables analyzed in this study</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The authors need to add the research methods and policy implications of the research results to the abstract In the introductory section several important points have not been explained, including the problem and the impact of the problem from relevant references (Gap Analysis using data); ideal condition or urgency of research topic; existing solutions and limitations of previous research; solutions to be offered and their advantages The authors need to add a LITERATURE REVIEW subsection after INTRODUCTION. The purpose of adding this point is to explain in theory about the research topic being carried out compared to other existing research, complete with references to find out the position of the research to be carried out compared to other people's research. Furthermore, the author needs to link all the theories discussed with the research variables or methods worked on. Preferably, all literature reviews are publications of the last 10 years, which are made in a mapping that is described in paragraphs so that they are interrelated to find out the gaps in the research for each year to ensure the novelty that is carried out In the methodology section, there is no visible econometric model used for data processing. The research method needs to be explained in more detail related to the prerequisites and the stages carried out The research framework should be attached to the methodology, which aims to summarize the relationship between variables The explanation for the interpretation results is still shallow, so it needs to be described again and also needs to confirm the research results obtained with relevant research to determine the consistency of the results obtained Conclusion and policy implications need to be explored 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anggi Putri Kurniadi
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia