

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96382
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Zn Biofortification of Cereal Crops a Sustainable Way to Ensuring Nutritional Security: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.This manuscript is of extreme importance to the scientific community as biofortification has helped science provide more food security in underdeveloped countries. 2. He provides a clear description of the article's theme and how zn biofortification in cereal crops is a sustainable way to ensure nutritional security. no alternative title is suggested. 3. YES, The Abstract Is Comprehensible. 4.YES, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5.Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The amount of references included in this abstract is satisfactory and recent. The sources included are relevant and help support the claims made in the text. There is no need for additional reference suggestions at this time. I don't have additional suggestions, the author has developed a very rich and detailed work on biofortification and its entire system.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality of the article is exceptional and the academic community will comprehend it.	
Optional/General comments	I would like to congratulate the author of the article and their collaborators on executing a work rich in information.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Steve Jasson Fernandes Alves
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil