

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96196
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude of students about e-resources for academic and research work in Agriculture universities of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, but it should have considered other domains such as contribution of e-resources to cognitive domain and skills aside from affective (attitudes). Based on the scope, yes, it is. Abstract is good, 254 words which is in keeping with 200–300-word limit. Yes. Title of the tables may be revisited in terms of the manner by which it is presented (APA V7). There were typo errors as well. Yes, but again may consider another key variable/s (aside from attitude) and include this in the recommendation. No. The references are limited granting that the study is about technology use. Add more recent literatures and references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it is highly recommended that this paper should undergo stylistics and editing and plagiarism check.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines