

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95499
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Various Uniformity Aspects Under Surface Drip Irrigation System in Tomato
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The study has potential being connected with agriculture and food. It have to be developed because it is at microscale, for a short time.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The information given to the reader is insufficient to conclude some things.</p> <p>No, 10 references are not enough for a paper. Only if it is something very original, I will accept this thing.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	<p>I do not know anything about the climate of that region to understand the context. Another thing is that it is not made a comparison with a sample where is not applied drip irrigation. How to appreciate something without to understand the problem?</p> <p>If the water is such a big issue, why it is not used recycled water for drip irrigation? It might be a good solution even the investment in developing such system is important. Or collecting the rain water, it is another solution.</p> <p>I understood what kind of soil you have, what kind of water. What can you say about air quality? Does air quality influence plant development? Tell us about plant diseases, pests that were noticed.</p> <p>Please, explain what means "viz.". In subsection 2.3 some pictures are placed over the text.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight
--	--------------------	---

Review Form 1.7

		<i>that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihaela Tinca Udristoiu
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania