

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJANR_97335 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Prevalence and risk factors of chronic kidney disease among non-clinical Healthcare Providers at the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital Port-Harcourt, Nigeria |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijanr.com/index.php/IJANR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>Yes, it is a very important article because chronic kidney disease is a silent killer that is affecting a considerably high number of people and therefore it is of the utmost importance to the scientific community.</p> <p>It seems appropriate to me.</p> <p>Very complete, I have no observations on this part.</p> <p>Yes, it is well structured.</p> <p>It seems to me that it is well supported, I really liked the way it was written.</p> <p>Regarding the keywords, in El Salvador according to Alerta magazine, only five keywords are allowed, but (Rivers State), from my point of view it should be removed.</p> <p>In the keywords section, it would be the only change.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Indeed, there were no problems in the writing. | |
| Optional/General comments | (We found that the CKD prevalence of 12.7%) You must write in the third person when writing a manuscript. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name: | Teresa del Rosario Fuentes Martínez |
| Department, University & Country | El Salvador |