

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_97120
Title of the Manuscript:	Response to Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKI) in patients with BCR-ABL1 Positive Chronic Myeloid Leukemia; 13.5 years' experience at Patan Hospital, Nepal
Type of the Article	Original report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it depicts that the response of CML patients to imatinib from the developing world with poor resources is comparable despite 'inadequate monitoring'. Yes, it is suitable I have amply edited it. Yes, appropriate Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct Yes. A comparative table of responses from other developing nations and a few developing nations would be appropriate	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments	Sentences should not start with numbers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghunadharao Digumarti
Department, University & Country	GSL Medical College, India