

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_96171
Title of the Manuscript:	INDUCTION AND TREATMENT OF POLYCYSTIC OVARY SYNDROME WITH PLANT EXTRACT IN RATS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	PCOS is very hot topic around the globe. It is need of time to create awareness among women regarding this disease. According to me this manuscript should be helpful to create awareness and to highlight the new techniques to overcome the disease. Title is Suitable. Abstract is Comprehensive This manuscript is scientifically incorrect. Because there is no induction method of PCOS in Rats mentioned in the manuscript. References are sufficient. Some references are too old (ref no. 3 and 4), need to add recent references. References are not in uniform format. Must use uniform reference style.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some topological and grammatical errors need to be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafiz Muhammad Arsalan
Department, University & Country	Altamimi Bachelor Clinical University, Kyrgyzstan