

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_96801
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, Characterization and Electrochemical Studies on the Corrosion Inhibition Properties of Schiff bases for Mild Steel in 1 M HCl solution.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, it focus on the corrosion inhibition properties of steel 2. Yes, but it matches with another title and work too which is listed below "Synthesis, characterization and corrosion inhibition potential of two novel Schiff bases on mild steel in acidic medium", Archana Pandey, et al, RSC Advances, Issue 74, 2017" 3. Yes, it briefly describes the work 4. Yes, it suits the work 5. Yes, its totally based on chemical reaction 6. Yes, its sufficient, but it is recommended to review recent 5 years back articles 7. Check for plagiarism 8. Do grammar and spell check 9. Include reference no if the figures are taken from other sources	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes, it's understandable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Anuja
Department, University & Country	Mepco Schlenk Engineering College, India